

**Rich Man,
Poor Man,
Beggar Man,
Thief!**

**The Sequel to
ALL THE KINGS MEN!**

An 8 hour radio broadcast from the year 2000 as hosted by:
Jeffrey Bennett

The Rothschilds

THE ROTHSCHILD DYNASTY

(Condensed from "Descent Into Slavery" by Des Griffin, Chapter Five)

For many years the words international banker, Rothschild, Money and Gold have held a mystical type of fascination for many people around the world but particularly in the United States.

Over the years in the United States, the international bankers have come in for a great deal of criticism by a wide variety of individuals who have held high offices of public trust - men whose opinions are worthy of note and whose responsibilities placed them in positions where they knew what was going on behind the scenes in politics and high finance.

President Andrew Jackson, the only one of our presidents whose administration totally abolished the National Debt, condemned the international bankers as a "den of vipers" which he was determined to "rout out" of the fabric of American life. Jackson claimed that if only the American people understood how these vipers operated on the American scene "there would be a revolution before morning."

Congressman Louis T. McFadden who, for more than ten years, served as chairman of the Banking and Currency Committee, stated that the international bankers are a "dark crew of financial pirates who would cut a man's throat to get a dollar out of his pocket... They prey upon the people of these United States."

John F. Hylan, then mayor of New York, said in 1911 that "the real menace of our republic is the invisible government which, like a giant octopus, sprawls its slimy length over our city, state and nation. At the head is a small group of banking houses, generally referred to as 'international bankers.'"

Were these leading public figures correct in their assessment of the situation, or were they the victims of some exotic form of paranoia?

Let's examine history analytically and unemotionally and uncover the facts. The truth, as it unfolds, will prove to be eye-opening and educational to those who are seeking to more clearly understand the mind-boggling events that have been (and are) taking place on the national and international scenes.

HUMBLE BEGINNINGS

Europe, towards the end of the eighteenth century or at the time of the American Revolution, was very different from what we know in the same area today. It was composed of a combination of large and small kingdoms, duchies and states which were constantly engaged in squabbles among themselves. Most people were reduced to the level of serfs - with no political rights. The meager 'privileges' that were granted to them by their 'owners' could be withdrawn at a moment's notice.

It was during this period of time that a young man appeared on the European scene who was to have a tremendous impact on the future course of world history; his name was Mayer Amschel Bauer. In later years his name, which he had changed, became synonymous with wealth, power and influence. He was the first of the Rothschilds - the first truly international banker!

Mayer Amschel Bauer was born in Frankfurt-On-The-Main in Germany in 1743. He was the son of Moses Amschel Bauer, an itinerant money lender and goldsmith who, tiring of his wanderings in Eastern Europe, decided to settle down in the city where his first son was born. He opened a shop, or counting house, on Judenstrasse (or Jew Street). Over the door leading into the shop he placed a large Red Shield.

At a very early age Mayer Amschel Bauer showed that he possessed immense intellectual ability, and his father spent much of his time teaching him everything he could about the money lending business, and the lessons he had learned from many sources. The older Bauer originally hoped to have his son trained as a Rabbi but the father's untimely death put an end to such plans.

A few years after his father's death Mayer Amschel Bauer went to work as a clerk in a bank owned by the Oppenheims in Hannover. His superior ability was quickly recognized and his advancement within the firm was swift. He was awarded a junior partnership.

Shortly thereafter he returned to Frankfurt where he was able to purchase the business his father had established in 1750. The big Red Shield was still displayed over the door. Recognizing the true significance of the Red Shield (his father had adopted it as his emblem from the Red Flag which was the emblem of the revolutionary minded Jews in Eastern Europe), Mayer Amschel Bauer changed his name to Rothschild; in this way the House of Rothschild came into being.

The base for a vast accumulation of wealth was laid during the 1760s when Amschel Rothschild renewed his acquaintance with General von Estorff for whom he ran errands while employed at the Oppenheimer Bank.

When Rothschild discovered that the general, who was now attached to the court of Prince William of Hanau, was interested in rare coins he decided to take full advantage of the situation. By offering valuable coins and trinkets at discount prices he soon ingratiated himself with the general and other influential members of the court.

One day he was ushered into the presence of Prince William himself. His Highness bought a handel of his rarest medals and coins. This was the first transaction between a Rothschild and a head of state. Soon Rothschild was doing business with other princes.

Before long Rothschild tried another ploy to secure an 'in' with various local princes - and to further his own aims! He wrote them letters that played on their princely vanity while asking them for their patronage. A typical letter would read:

"It has been my particular high and good fortune to serve your lofty princely Serenity at various times and to your most gracious satisfaction. I stand ready to exert all my energies and my entire fortune to serve your lofty princely serenity whenever in future it shall please you to command me. An especially powerful incentive to this end would be given me if your lofty princely serenity were to distinguish me with an appointment as one of your Highness' Court Factors. I am making bold to beg for this with the more confidence in the assurance that by so doing I am not giving any trouble; while for my part such a distinction would lift up my commercial standing and be of help to me in many other ways that I feel certain thereby to make my own way and fortune here in the city of Frankfurt."

His tactics paid off. On September 21, 1769, Rothschild was able to nail a sign bearing the arms of Hess-Hanau to the front of his shop. In gold characters it read: "*M. A. Rothschild, by appointment court factor to his serene highness, Prince William of Hanau.*"

In 1770 Rothschild married Gutele Schnaper who was aged seventeen. They had a large family consisting of five sons and five daughters. Their sons were Amschel, Salomon, Nathan, Kalmann (Karl) and Jacob (James).

History records that William of Hanau, "whose crest had been famous in Germany since the Middle Ages," was a dealer in human flesh. For a price the Prince, who was closely related to the various royal families of Europe, would rent out troops to any nation. His best customer was the British government which wanted troops for such projects as trying to keep the American colonists in line.

He did exceptionally well with his 'rent-a-troop' business. When he died he left the largest fortune ever accumulated in Europe to that time, \$200,000,000. Rothschild biographer Frederic Morton describes William as "Europe's most blue-cold blooded loan shark" (The Rothschilds, Fawcett Crest, 1961, p. 40).

Rothschild became an agent for this 'human cattle' dealer. He must have worked diligently in his new position of responsibility because, when William was forced to flee to Denmark, he left 600,000 pounds (then valued at \$3,000,000) with Rothschild for safekeeping.

According to the late Commander William Guy Carr, who was an Intelligence Officer in the Royal Canadian Navy, and who had excellent contacts in intelligence circles around the world, the founder of the House of Rothschild drew up plans for the creation of the Illuminati and then entrusted Adam Weishaupt with its organization and development.

Sir Walter Scott, in the second volume of his "Life of Napoleon", states that the French Revolution was planned by the Illuminati and was financed by the money changers of Europe. Interestingly enough, the above book (which this author has both seen and read) is the only book written by Scott that is not listed under his name in any of the 'authoritative' reference works. It is now a 'non book'!

"MORE BUSINESSLIKE" FACTS

For an account of what happened next we turn to the Jewish Encyclopedia, 1905 edition, Volume 10, p. 494: "*According to legend this money was hidden away in wine casks, and, escaping the search of Napoleon's soldiers when they entered Frankfort, was restored intact in the same casks in 1814, when the elector returned to the electorate. The facts are somewhat less romantic, and more businesslike.*"

Pay particular attention to the last nine words. They are loaded with significance. Here the leading Jewish authority states that what Rothschild actually did with the \$3,000,000 was "more businesslike," from a Jewish point of view, than what was stated in the legend.

The simple truth of the matter is that Rothschild embezzled the money from Prince William. But even before the money reached Rothschild it was not 'clean' (or Kosher). The vast sum had been paid to William of Hess by the British government for the services of his soldiers. The money was originally embezzled by William from his troops who were legally entitled to it.

With the twice embezzled money as a solid foundation, Mayer Amschel Rothschild decided to vastly expand his operations - and become the first international banker.

A couple of years earlier Rothschild had sent his son, Nathan, to England to take care of the family business in that country. After a brief stay in Manchester, where he operated as a merchant, Nathan, on instructions from his father, moved to London and set up shop as a merchant banker. To get the operation under way Rothschild gave his son the three million dollars he had embezzled from William of Hess.

The Jewish Encyclopedia for 1905 tells us that Nathan invested the loot in "gold from the East India company knowing that it would be needed for Wellington's peninsula campaign." On the stolen money Nathan made "no less than four profits; (1) On the sale of Wellington's paper [which he bought at 50 cents on the dollar and collected at par; (2) on the sale of gold to Wellington; (3) on its repurchase; and (4) on forwarding it to Portugal. This was the beginning of the great fortunes of the house" (p. 494).

Yes, the Jewish Encyclopedia claims that the great fortune accumulated by the Rothschilds over the years was based on the "businesslike" method of fraud.

With their huge accumulation of ill-gotten gain the family established branches of the House of Rothschild in Berlin, Vienna, Paris and Naples. Rothschild placed a son in charge of each branch. Amschel was placed in charge of the Berlin branch; Salomon was over the Vienna branch; Jacob (James) went to Paris and Kalmann (Karl) opened up the Rothschild bank in Naples. The headquarters of the House of Rothschild was, and is, in London.

NATHAN

An anonymous contemporary described Nathan Rothschild as he leaned against the 'Rothschild Pillar' at the London Stock Exchange, hung his heavy hands into his pockets, and began to release silent, motionless, implacable cunning:

"Eyes are usually called the windows of the soul. But in Rothschild's case you would conclude that the windows are false ones, or that there was no soul to look out of them. There comes not one pencil of light from the interior, neither is there one gleam of that which comes from without reflected in any direction. The whole puts you in mind of an empty skin, and you wonder why it stands upright without at least something in it. By and by another figure comes up to it. It then steps two paces aside, and the most inquisitive glance that you ever saw, and a glance more inquisitive than you would ever have thought of, is drawn out of the fixed and leaden eye, as if one were drawing a sword from a scabbard. The visiting figure, which has the appearance of coming by accident and not by design, stops just a second or two, in the course of which looks are exchanged which, though you cannot translate, you feel must be of most important meaning. After these the eyes are sheathed up again, and the figure resumes its stony posture."

During the morning numbers of visitors come, all of whom meet with a similar reception and vanish in a similar manner. Last of all the figure itself vanishes, leaving you utterly at a loss." (Frederic Morton, *The Rothschilds*, p. 65)

MAYER AMSCHEL'S WILL

When he died on September 19, 1812, the founder of the House of Rothschild left a will that was just days old. In it, he laid down specific laws by which the House that bore his name would operate in future year.

The laws were as follows:

(1) All key positions in the House of Rothschild were to be held by members of the family, and not by hired hands. Only male members of the family were allowed to participate in the business.

The eldest son of the eldest son was to be the head of the family unless the majority of the rest of the family agreed otherwise. It was for this exceptional reason that Nathan, who was particularly brilliant, was appointed head of the House of Rothschild in 1812.

(2) The family was to intermarry with their own first and second cousins, thus preserving the vast fortune. This rule was strictly adhered to early on but later, when other rich Jewish banking houses came on the scene, it was relaxed to allow some of the Rothschilds to marry selected members of the new elite.

(3) Amschel forbade his heirs "most explicitly, in any circumstances whatever, to have any public inventory made by the courts, or otherwise, of my estate Also I forbid any legal action and any publication of the value of the inheritance Anyone who disregards these provisions and takes any kind of action which conflicts with them will immediately be regarded as having disputed the will, and shall suffer the consequences of so doing."

(4) Rothschild ordered a perpetual family partnership and provided that the female members of the family, their husbands and children should receive their interest in the estate subject to the management of the male members. They were to have no part in the management of the business. Anyone who disputed this arrangement would lose their interest in the Estate. (The last stipulation was specifically designed to seal the mouths of anyone who might feel like breaking with the family. Rothschild obviously felt that there were a lot of things under the family 'rug' that should never see the light of day).

The mighty strength of the House of Rothschild was based on a variety of important factors:

(A) Complete secrecy resulting from total family control of all business dealings;

(B) An uncanny, one could almost say a supernatural ability to see what lay ahead and to take full advantage of it. The whole family was driven by an insatiable lust for the accumulation of wealth and power, and

(C) Total ruthlessness in all business dealings.

Biographer Frederic Morton, in *The Rothschilds*, tells us that Mayer Amschel Rothschild and his five sons were "wizards" of finance, and "fiendish calculators" who were motivated by a "demonic drive" to succeed in their secret undertakings.

TALMUDIC INFLUENCE

From the same authoritative source we learn that "on Saturday evenings, when prayer was done at the synagogue, Mayer would inveigle the rabbi into his house. They would bend towards one another on the green upholstery, sipping slowly at a glass of wine and argue about first and last things deep into the night. Even on work days...Mayer...was apt to tear down the big book of the Talmud and recite from it...while the entire family must sit stock still and listen" (p. 31)

It could be said of the Rothschilds that the "family that preys together stays together." And prey they did! Morton states that it is difficult for the average person to "comprehend Rothschild nor even the reason why he having so much, wanted to conquer more." All five brothers were imbued with this same spirit of cunning and conquest.

The Rothschilds formed no true friendships or alliances. Their associates were but mere acquaintances who were used to further the interests of the House of Rothschild, and then thrown on the garbage heap of history when they had served their purpose or outlived their usefulness.

The truth of this statement is demonstrated by another passage from Frederic Morton's book. He relates how, in 1806, Napoleon declared that it was his "*object to remove the house of Hess-Cassel from rulership and to strike it out of the list of powers.*"

"Thus Europe's mightiest man decreed erasure of the rock on which the new Rothschild firm had been built. Yet, curiously, the bustle didn't diminish at the house of the [Red] Shield.... Rothschilds still sat, avid and impenetrable, portfolios wedged between body and arm.

"They saw neither peace nor war, neither slogans or manifestos, nor orders of the day, neither death nor glory. They saw none of the things that blinded the world. They saw only steppingstones. Prince William had been one. Napoleon would be the next" (pp. 38,39).

'Curious'? Not exactly! The House of Rothschild was helping to finance the French dictator and, as a result, had free access to French markets at all times. Some years later, when both France and England were blockading each other's coast lines, the only merchants who were allowed to freely run the blockades were - yes, you guessed it, the Rothschilds. They were financing both sides!

"The efficiency which powered Mayer's sons brought on enormous economic spring cleaning: a sweeping away of fiscal dead wood; a renovation of old credit structures and an invention of new ones; a formation - implicit in the sheer existence of five different Rothschild banks in five different countries - of fresh money channels via clearing-houses; a method of replacing the old unwieldy shipping of gold bullion by a worldwide system of debits and credits.

"One of the greatest contributions was Nathan's new technique for floating international loans. He didn't much care to receive dividends in all sorts of strange and cumbersome currencies.

"Now Nathan attracted him - the most powerful investment source of the nineteenth century - by making foreign bonds payable in Pounds Sterling" (p. 96).

THE BATTLE OF WATERLOO

As the wealth and power of the Rothschilds grew in size and influence so did their intelligence gathering network. They had their 'agents' strategically located in all the capitals and trading centers of Europe, gathering and developing various types of intelligence. Like most family exploits, it was based on a combination of very hard work and sheer cunning.

Their unique spy system started out when 'the boys' began sending messages to each other through a network of couriers. Soon it developed into something much more elaborate, effective and far reaching. It was a spy network par excellence. Its stunning speed and effectiveness gave the Rothschilds a clear edge in all their dealings on an international level.

"Rothschild coaches careened down the highways; Rothschild boats set sail across the Channel; Rothschild agents were swift shadows along the streets. They carried cash, securities, letters and news.

Above all, news - the latest exclusive news to be vigorously processed at stock market and commodity bourse.

"And there was no news more precious than the outcome at Waterloo..." (The Rothschilds p. 94).

Upon the battle of Waterloo depended the future of the European continent. If the Grande Armée of Napoleon emerged victorious France would be undisputed master of all she surveyed on the European front. If Napoleon was crushed into submission England would hold the balance of power in Europe and would be in a position to greatly expand its sphere of influence.

Historian John Reeves, a Rothschild partisan, reveals in his book *The Rothschilds, Financial Rulers of the Nations*, 1887, page 167, that "one cause of his [Nathan's] success was the secrecy with which he shrouded, and the tortuous policy with which he misled those who watched him the keenest."

There were vast fortunes to be made - and lost - on the outcome of the Battle of Waterloo. The Stock Exchange in London was at fever pitch as traders awaited news of the outcome of this battle of the giants. If Britain lost, English consuls would plummet to unprecedented depths. If Britain was victorioug, the value of the consul would leap to dizzying new heights.

As the two huge armies closed in for their battle to the death, Nathan Rothschild had his agents working feverishly on both sides of the line to gather the most accurate possible information as the battle proceeded. Additional Rothschild agents were on hand to carry the intelligence bulletins to a Rothschild command post strategically located nearby.

Late on the afternoon of June 15, 1815, a Rothschild representative jumped on board a specially chartered boat and headed out into the channel in a hurried dash for the English coast. In his possession was a top secret report from Rothschild's secret service agents on the progress of the crucial battle. This intelligence data would prove indispensable to Nathan in making some vital decisions.

The special agent was met at Folkstone the following morning at dawn by Nathan Rothschild himself. After quickly scanning the highlights of the report Rothschild was on his way again, speeding towards London and the Stock Exchange.

COUP OF COUPS

Arriving at the Exchange amid frantic speculation on the outcome of the battle, Nathan took up his usual position beside the famous 'Rothschild Pillar.' Without a sign of emotion, without the slightest change of facial expression the stony-faced, flint eyed chief of the House of Rothschild gave a predetermined signal to his agents who were stationed nearby.

Rothschild agents immediately began to dump consuls on the market. As hundred of thousands of dollars worth of consuls poured onto the market their value started to slide. Then they began to plummet.

Nathan continued to lean against 'his' pillar, emotionless, expressionless. He continued to sell, and sell and sell. Consuls kept on falling. Word began to sweep through the Stock Exchange: "Rothschild knows." "Rothschild knows." "Wellington has lost at Waterloo."

The selling turned into a panic as people rushed to unload their 'worthless' consuls or paper money for gold and silver in the hope of retaining at least part of their wealth. Consuls continued their nosedive towards oblivion. After several hours of feverish trading the consul lay in ruins. It was selling for about five cents on the dollar.

Nathan Rothschild, emotionless as ever, still leaned against his pillar. He continued to give subtle signals. But these signals were different. They were so subtly different that only the highly trained Rothschild agents could detect the change. On the cue from their boss, dozens of Rothschild agents made their way to the order desks around the Exchange and bought every consul in sight for just a 'song'!

A short time later the 'official' news arrived in the British capital. England was now the master of the European scene.

Within seconds the consul skyrocketed to above its original value. As the significance of the British victory began to sink into the public consciousness, the value of consuls rose even higher.

Napoleon had 'met his Waterloo.' Nathan had bought control of the British economy. Overnight, his already vast fortune was multiplied twenty times over.

THE JEWISH ENCYCLOPEDIA VOLUME X, 1905 (P. 494)

Owing to Napoleon's seizure of Holland in 1803, the leaders of the anti-Napoleonic league chose Frankfort as a financial center where-from to obtain the sinews of war. After the battle of Jena in 1806 the Landgrave of Hesse-Cassel fled to Denmark, where he had already deposited much of his wealth through the agency of Mayer Amschel Rothschild, leaving in the hands of the latter specie and works of art of the value of 600,000 pounds. According to legend, these were hidden away in wine-casks, and, escaping the search of Napoleon's soldiers when they entered Frankfort, were restored intact in the same casks in 1814, when the elector returned to his electorate (see Marbot, "Memoirs," 1891, i. 310-311). The facts are somewhat less romantic, and more business-like. Rothschild, so far from being in danger, was on such good terms with Napoleon's nominee, Prince Dalberg, that he had been made in 1810 a member of the Electoral College of Darmstadt. The elector's money had been sent to Nathan in London, who in 1808 utilized it to purchase 800,000 pounds worth of gold from the East India Company, knowing that it would be needed for Wellington's Peninsular campaign. He made no less than four profits on this: (1) on the sale of Wellington's paper, (2) on the sale of the gold to Wellington, (3) on its repurchase, and (4) on forwarding it to Portugal. This was the beginning of the great fortunes of the house.

CLEAN UP IN FRANCE

Following their crushing defeat at Waterloo, the French struggled to get back on their feet financially. In 1817 they negotiated a substantial loan from the prestigious French banking house of Ouvrard and from the well-known bankers Baring Brothers of London. The Rothschilds had been left on the outside looking in.

The following year the French government was in need of another loan. As the bonds issued in 1817 with the help of Ouvrard and Baring Brothers were increasing in value on the Paris market, and in other European financial centers, it appeared certain that the French government would retain the services of these two distinguished banking houses.

The Rothschild brothers tried most of the gimmicks in their vast repertoire to influence the French government to give them the business. Their efforts were in vain.

The French aristocrats, who prided themselves on their elegance and superior breeding, viewed the Rothschilds as mere peasants, upstarts who needed to be kept in their place. The fact that the Rothschilds had vast financial resources, lived in the most luxurious homes and were attired in the most elegant and expensive clothes obtainable cut no ice with the highly class conscious French nobility. The Rothschilds were viewed as uncouth - lacking in social graces. If we are to believe most historical accounts, their appraisal of the first generation Rothschilds was probably valid.

One major piece of armament in the Rothschild arsenal the French had overlooked or ignored - their unprecedented cunning in the use and manipulation of money.

On November 5, 1818, something very unexpected occurred. After a year of steady appreciation the value of the French government bonds began to fall. With each passing day the decline in their value became more pronounced. Within a short space of time other government securities began to suffer too.

The atmosphere in the court of Louis XVIII was tense. Grim faced aristocrats pondered the fate of the country. They hoped for the best but feared the worst! The only people around the French court who weren't deeply concerned were James and Karl Rothschild. They smiled - but said nothing!

Slowly a sneaking suspicion began to take shape in the minds of some onlookers. Could those Rothschild brothers be the cause of the nation's economic woes? Could they have secretly manipulated the bond market and engineered the panic?

They had! During October 1818, Rothschild agents, using their masters' limitless reserves, had bought huge quantities of the French government bonds issued through their rivals Ouvrard and Baring Brothers. This caused the bonds to increase in value. Then, on November 5th, they began to dump the bonds in huge quantities on the open market in the main commercial centers of Europe, throwing the market into a panic.

Suddenly the scene in the Aix palace changed. The Rothschilds, who were patiently biding their time and waiting quietly in an ante room, were ushered into the presence of the king. They were now the center of attention. Their clothes were now the height of fashion. "Their money [was] the darling of the best borrowers." The Rothschilds had gained control of France...and control is the name of the game!

Benjamin Disraeli, who was the prime minister of Britain, wrote a novel titled *Coningsby*. The *Jewish Encyclopedia*, Vol. 10, pp. 501, 502 describes the book as "an ideal portrait" of the Rothschild Empire. Disraeli characterized Nathan (in conjunction with his four brothers) as "the lord and master of the money markets of the world, and of course virtually lord and master of everything else. He literally held the revenues of southern Italy in pawn, and monarchs and ministers of all countries courted his advice and were guided by his suggestions."

GROOMED "INAUDIBILITY AND INVISIBILITY"

The financial coups performed by the Rothschilds in England in 1815, and in France three years later, are just two of the many they have staged worldwide over the years.

There has, however, been a major change in the tactics used to fleece the public of their hard earned money. From being brazenly open in their use and exploitation of people and nations, the Rothschilds have shrunk from the limelight and now operate through and behind a wide variety of fronts.

Their 'modern' approach is explained by biographer Frederic Morton: "Rothschilds love to glisten. But to the sorrow of the socially ambitious, Rothschilds glisten only in camera, for and among their own kind.

"Their penchant for reticence seems to have grown in recent generations. The founder of the house enjoined it a long time ago; but some of his sons, while storming Europe's innermost bastions of power, wrapped their hands around every weapon, including the rawest publicity. Today the family grooms the inaudibility and invisibility of its presence. As a result, some believe that little is left apart from a great legend. And the Rothschilds are quite content to let legend be their public relations.

"Though they control scores of industrial, commercial, mining and tourist corporations, not one bears the name Rothschild. Being private partnerships, the family houses never need to, and never do, publish a single public balance sheet, or any other report of their financial condition" (The Rothschilds. pp. 18, 19).

Throughout their long history the Rothschilds have gone to great lengths to create the impression that they operate within the framework of 'democracy.' This posture is calculated to deceive, to lead people away from the fact that their real aim is the elimination of all competition and the creation of a world-wide monopoly. Hiding behind a multitude of 'fronts' they have done a masterful job of deception.

ROTHSCHILD AND AMERICA

It would be extraordinarily naïve to even consider the possibility that a family as ambitious, as cunning and as monopolistically minded as the Rothschilds could resist the temptation of becoming heavily involved on the American front.

Following their conquest of Europe early in the 1800s, the Rothschilds cast their covetous eyes on the most precious gem of them all - the United States.

America was unique in modern history. It was only the second nation in history that had ever been formed with the Bible as its law book. [The United States was not formed with the Bible as its law book. It was founded by Masonic deists. Only low rank Masons respect the Bible and consider it their law book; the Founders were high rank Masons, and many professed their lack of respect for the Bible. The writer probably means that the Colonies were formed with the Bible as their law book, and this is basically true. -Ed.] Its uniquely magnificent Constitution was specifically designed to limit the power of government and to keep its citizens free and prosperous. Its citizens were basically industrious immigrants who 'yearned to breath free' and who asked nothing more than to be given the opportunity to live and work in such a wonderfully stimulating environment.

The results - the 'fruit' - of such a unique experiment were so indescribably brilliant that America became a legend around the globe. Many millions across the far flung continents of the world viewed America the Beautiful as the promised land.

The Big Bankers in Europe - the Rothschilds and their cohorts - viewed the wonderful results borne by this unique experiment from an entirely different perspective; they looked upon it as a major threat to their future plans. The establishment Times of London stated: "If that mischievous financial policy which had its origin in the North American Republic [i.e. honest Constitutionally authorized no debt money] should become indurated down to a fixture, then that government will furnish its own money without cost. It will pay off its debts and be without a debt [to the international bankers]. It will become prosperous beyond precedent in the history of the civilized governments of the world. The brains and

wealth of all countries will go to North America. That government must be destroyed or it will destroy every monarchy on the globe."

The Rothschilds and their friends sent in their financial termites to destroy America because it was becoming "prosperous beyond precedent."

The first documentable evidence of Rothschild involvement in the financial affairs of the United States came in the late 1820s and early 1830s when the family, through their agent Nicholas Biddle, fought to defeat Andrew Jackson's move to curtail the international bankers. The Rothschilds lost the first round when in 1832, President Jackson vetoed the move to renew the charter of the 'Bank of the United States' (a central bank controlled by the international bankers). In 1836 the bank went out of business.

PLAN OF DESTRUCTION

In the years following Independence, a close business relationship had developed between the cotton growing aristocracy in the South and the cotton manufacturers in England. The European bankers decided that this business connection was America's Achilles Heel, the door through which the young American Republic could be successfully attacked and overcome.

The Illustrated University History, 1878, p. 504, tells us that the southern states swarmed with British agents. These conspired with local politicians to work against the best interests of the United States. Their carefully sown and nurtured propaganda developed into open rebellion and resulted in the secession of South Carolina on December 29, 1860. Within weeks another six states joined the conspiracy against the Union, and broke away to form the Confederate States of America, with Jefferson Davis as President.

The plotters raided armies, seized forts, arsenals, mints and other Union property. Even members of President Buchanan's Cabinet conspired to destroy the Union by damaging the public credit and working to bankrupt the nation. Buchanan claimed to deplore secession but took no steps to check it, even when a U.S. ship was fired upon by South Carolina shore batteries.

Shortly thereafter Abraham Lincoln became President, being inaugurated on March 4, 1861. Lincoln immediately ordered a blockade on Southern ports, to cut off supplies that were pouring in from Europe. The 'official' date for the start of the Civil War is given as April 12, 1861, when Fort Sumter in South Carolina was bombarded by the Confederates, but it obviously began at a much earlier date.

In December, 1861, large numbers of European Troops (British, French and Spanish) poured into Mexico in defiance of the Monroe Doctrine. This, together with widespread European aid to the Confederacy strongly indicated that the Crown was preparing to enter the war. The outlook for the North, and the future of the Union, was bleak indeed.

In this hour of extreme crisis, Lincoln appealed to the Crown's perennial enemy, Russia, for assistance. When the envelope containing Lincoln's urgent appeal was given to Czar Nicholas II, he weighed it unopened in his hand and stated: "Before we open this paper or know its contents, we grant any request it may contain."

Unannounced, a Russian fleet under Admiral Liviski, steamed into New York harbor on September 24, 1863, and anchored there. The Russian Pacific fleet, under Admiral Popov, arrived in San Francisco on October 12. Of this Russian act, Gideon Wells said: "They arrived at the high tide of the Confederacy and the low tide of the North, causing England and France to hesitate long enough to turn the tide for the North" (Empire of "The City," p. 90).

History reveals that the Rothschilds were heavily involved in financing both sides in the Civil War. Lincoln put a damper on their activities when, in 1862 and 1863, he refused to pay the exorbitant rates of interest demanded by the Rothschilds and issued constitutionally-authorized, interest free United States notes. For this and other acts of patriotism Lincoln was shot down in cold-blood by John Wilkes Booth on April 14, 1865, just five days after Lee surrendered to Grant at Appomattox Court House, Virginia.

Booth's grand-daughter, Izola Forrester, states in *This One Mad Act* that Lincoln's assassin had been in close contact with mysterious Europeans prior to the slaying, and had made at least one trip to Europe. Following the killing, Booth was whisked away to safety by members of the Knights of the Golden Circle. According to the author, Booth lived for many years following his disappearance.

INTERNATIONAL BANKERS PURSUE THEIR GOAL

Undaunted by their initial failures to destroy the United States, the international bankers pursued their objective with relentless zeal. Between the end of the Civil War and 1914, their main agents in the United States were Kuhn, Loeb and Co. and the J. P. Morgan Co.

A brief history of Kuhn, Loeb and Co. appeared in *Newsweek* magazine on February 1, 1936: "Abraham Kuhn and Solomon Loeb were general merchandise merchants in Lafayette, Indiana, in 1850. As usual in newly settled regions, most transactions were on credit. They soon found out that they were bankers... In 1867, they established Kuhn, Loeb and Co., bankers, in New York City, and took in a young German immigrant, Jacob Schiff, as partner. Young Schiff had important financial connections in Europe. After ten years, Jacob Schiff was head of Kuhn, Loeb and Co., Kuhn having retired. Under Schiff's guidance, the house brought European capital into contact with American industry."

Schiff's "important financial connections in Europe" were the Rothschilds and their German representatives, the M. M. Warburg Company of Hamburg and Amsterdam. Within twenty years the Rothschilds, through their Warburg-Schiff connection, had provided the capital that enabled John D. Rockefeller to greatly expand his Standard Oil empire. They also financed the activities of Edward Harriman (Railroads) and Andrew Carnegie (Steel).

At the turn of the 20th century the Rothschilds, not satisfied with the progress being made by their American operations, sent one of their top experts, Paul Moritz Warburg, over to New York to take direct charge of their assault upon the only true champion of individual liberty and prosperity - the United States.

At a hearing of the House Committee on Banking and Currency in 1913, Warburg revealed that he was "a member of the banking firm of Kuhn, Loeb and Co. I came to this country in 1902, having been born and educated in the banking business in Hamburg, Germany, and studied banking in London and Paris, and have gone all around the world...."

In the late 1800s, people didn't study banking in London and "all around the world" unless they had a special mission to perform!

Early in 1907, Jacob Schiff, the Rothschild-owned boss of Kuhn, Loeb and Co., in a speech to the New York Chamber of Commerce, warned that "unless we have a Central Bank with adequate control of credit resources, this country is going to undergo the most severe and far reaching money panic in its history."

Shortly thereafter, the United States plunged into a monetary crisis that had all the earmarks of a skillfully planned Rothschild 'job.' The ensuing panic financially mined tens of thousands of innocent people across the country - and made billions for the banking elite. The purpose for the 'crisis' was two-fold:

- (1) To make a financial 'killing' for the Insiders, and
- (2) To impress on the American people the 'great need' for a central bank.

Paul Warburg told the Banking and Currency Committee: "In the Panic of 1907, the first suggestion I made was, *'let us have a national clearing house' [Central Bank]. The Aldrich Plan [for a Central Bank] contains many things that are simply fundamental rules of banking. Your aim must be the same....*"

Digging deep into their bag of deceitful practices, the international bankers pulled off their greatest coup to date - the creation of the privately owned Federal Reserve System, which placed control of the finances of the United States securely in the hands of the power-crazed money monopolists. Paul Warburg became the 'Fed's' first chairman!

Congressman Charles Lindbergh put his finger firmly on the truth when he stated, just after the 'Federal' Reserve Act was passed by a depleted Congress on December 23, 1913: "The Act establishes the most gigantic trust on earth. When the President [Wilson] signs this Bill, the invisible government of the monetary power will be legalized....The greatest crime of the ages is perpetrated by this banking and currency bill."

PLAN TO CONQUER THE WORLD

Having consolidated their financial grip on most of the European nations by the middle of the last century, the international bankers worked feverishly to extend their sphere of influence to the ends of the earth in preparation for their final assault on the United States - a nation which, through its unique Constitution, remained free.

In the decades that followed it became apparent that, in order to achieve their goal of world domination, they would have to instigate a series of world wars which would result in leveling of the old world in preparation for the construction of the New World Order. This plan was outlined in graphic detail by Albert Pike, the Sovereign Grand Commander of the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Freemasonry and the top Illuminist in America. In a letter to Guiseppe Mazzini dated August 15, 1871. Pike stated that the First world war was to be fomented in order to destroy Czarist Russia - and to place that vast land under the direct control of Illuminati agents. Russia was then to be used as a 'bogy man' to further the aims of the Illuminati worldwide.

World War II was to be fomented through manipulation of the differences that existed between the German Nationalists and the Political Zionists. This was to result in an expansion of Russian influence and the establishment of a state of Israel in Palestine.

The Third World War was planned to result from the differences stirred up by Illuminati agents between the Zionists and the Arabs. The conflict was planned to spread worldwide. The Illuminati, said the letter, planned to "unleash the Nihilists and Atheists" and "provoke a formidable social cataclysm which in all its horror will show clearly to the nations the effect of absolute atheism, origin of savagery and of the most bloody turmoil. Then everywhere, the citizens, obliged to defend themselves against the world minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate those destroyers of civilization, and the multitude,

disillusioned with Christianity, whose deistic spirits will from that moment be without compass [direction], anxious for an ideal, but without knowing where to render its adoration, will receive the true light through the universal manifestation of the pure doctrine of Lucifer, brought finally out in the public view, a manifestation which will result from the general reactionary movement which will follow the destruction of Christianity and atheism, both conquered and exterminated at the same time."

At the time Pike wrote this remarkable leaflet there were five different ideologies extant on the world scene and involved in a "struggle for space and power." These were:

1. The secret ideology of the international bankers or the Illuminati as laid out in Fourth Reich Of The Rich. Their aim was the creation of a One World Government to be ruled over by the "Illuminated ones" at the top.
2. The Russian "Pan-Slavic" ideology which was originally conceived by William the Great and expounded in his will. According to A.H. Granger, the author of England World Empire, 1916, p. 173, this ideology called for the elimination of Austria and Germany, then the conquest of India and Persia and ends with the words: "...which will ensure the subjugation of Europe."
3. The ideology of "Asia for the Asiatics" as expounded by the Japanese. This called for a confederation of Asian nations dominated by Japan.
4. The ideology of Pan Germanism which called for German political control over the European continent, freedom from the Crown's restrictions on the high seas and the adoption of an "open door" policy in trade and commerce with the rest of the world.
5. Pan-American or the ideology of "America for the Americans." This called for "trade and friendship with all, alliances with none." Secretary of State Root stated in 1906 that, under this ideology which was given expression in the Monroe Doctrine of 1823, we are "debarred from sharing in the political aims, interests, or responsibilities of Europe, just as by the equally potential doctrine, now nearly a century old, the European powers are excluded from sharing or interfering in the political concerns of the sovereign states of the Western Hemisphere."

If the plans of the international banker/Illuminati cabal were to be brought to fruition Russia, Germany, Japan and the United States would surrender to poverty and ignominy.

The Illuminati plan for world conquest, referred to by Albert Pike, was a diabolical masterpiece of Luciferian ingenuity that would take the lives of hundreds of millions of human beings and cost hundred of billions of dollars in its accomplishment.

The plan that the Illuminati devised to accomplish their world-conquering goal is as simple as it is effective. Along the way towards the accomplishment of their final objective, this plan has been adapted by the international bankers and their comrades in arms around the globe, to amass vast fortunes in real estate. As we shall see, the implementation of the plan has been so smoothly executed that it has frequently won applause from the ranks of those it is destroying. Their plan can be called Urban Renewal.

It has been said that there are three types of people:

1. Those who make things happen.
2. Those who watch things happen, and . . .
3. Those who wonder what happened.

WHICH ONE ARE YOU?

The vast majority of mankind find themselves in the last two categories. Most have "eyes to see" but don't "see" what is happening. Most have "ears that hear" but don't "understand" what IS happening –

LOCALLY, NATIONALLY OR INTERNATIONALLY.

The DEPOSITORY TRUST COMPANY

The \$19 Trillion Private Bank

This is Part I of III- This exclusive investigative report series is a compilation of interviews and background research from October 1995 through March 2000.

The **Depository Trust Company (DTC)** is the best kept secret in America. Headquartered at 55 Water Street in New York City, the average American has no clue that this financial institution is the most powerful banking corporation in the world. The general public has no knowledge of what the DTC is or what they do, but a clue can be taken from the sign at the front of the building, which says, "THE TOWER OF POWER". How can a private banking trust company hold assets of over **\$19 trillion** and be *unknown*? In an official press release dated April 19, 1999, the Depository Trust Company stated:

"The Depository Trust Company (DTC) is the world's largest securities depository, holding nearly \$19 trillion in assets for its Participants and their customers.... Last year, DTC processed over 164 million book-entry deliveries valued at more than \$77 trillion."

In dealing with the trust department of Midlantic Bank, N.A. in New Jersey [now PNC Bank, N.A.], this writer was authorized, as trustee and power of attorney, to transfer original trust assets comprising of common stocks and bonds to a new trust set up in another jurisdiction. An Assistant Vice President from the Trust & Financial Management Office of Midlantic Bank said to me **"it will take at least 6 weeks to do this as the majority of the stocks and bonds are not held in the name of the trust"**. This same Midlantic Bank Assistant V.P. also stated in a letter dated November 17, 1995, **"Of the 11 municipal bonds, 8 are held in book entry only. This means they cannot be physically re-registered with a certificate sent to the new trustees."** (* these are not the actual figures quoted in the letter in order to protect the privacy of the account holder, at their request. Also, we were asked not to name the Midlantic Assistant V.P. in order to protect her privacy Rights. We respect these requests with full moral

compliance). In disbelief, I brought this matter to the attention of our research assistants at the Christian Common Law Institute [formerly the North Bridge News] and we began our lengthy investigation into the matter. After 3½ years, the can of worms we've opened up should frighten every American. With the advent of reported Y2K computer glitches and the possible collapse of our 'paper asset' economy, every person who has a stock or bond in their portfolio had better read this report and act on the information we are disclosing here.

In November 1995, after encountering numerous "no comments" and a myriad of "that's not my department" excuses via telephone, I eventually spoke with Mr. Jim McNeff who told me his position was Director of Training for the DTC. He said he'd been employed there for 19 years and was "very proud" of his employer. During my initial telephone interview, either Jim's employer or some other unknown person or persons were illegally listening or taping our telephone conversation according to the electronic eavesdropping equipment we have installed on our end. Why did anyone feel it was necessary to illegally record our conversation without advising us? Was some federal alphabet agency monitoring DTC calls to safeguard National Security? That in itself is suspicious enough to warrant a big red warning flag.

Jim informed me back then (1995) that **"the DTC is the largest limited trust company in the world with assets of \$ 9.1 trillion"**. In July 1998, I spoke with Ms. Rose Barnabic of the DTC Finance Department who said that **"DTC assets are currently estimated at around \$11 trillion"**. As of April 19, 1999, the DTC itself has stated that their assets total **"nearly \$19 trillion"** (see above). Mr. McNeff had also stated **"the DTC is a brokerage clearing firm and transfer center. We're a private bank for securities. We handle the book entry transactions for all banks and brokers. Every bank and brokerage firm must secure their membership with us in case they become insolvent, so your assets are secure with DTC"**. Yes, you read that correctly. The DTC is a *private* bank that processes *every* stock and bond (paper securities) for *all* U.S. banks and brokerage houses. The big question is this; Just who gave this private bank and trust company such a broad range of financial power and clout?

The reason the public doesn't know about DTC is that they're a *privately owned* depository bank for institutional and brokerage firms *only*. They process all of their book entry settlement transactions. Jim McNeff said **"There's no need for the public to know about us... it's required by the Federal Reserve that DTC handle all transactions"**. The Federal Reserve Corporation, a/k/a The Federal Reserve System, is also a private company and is *not* an agency or department of our federal government, according to the 1998 Federal Registry. The Federal Reserve Board of Governors is listed, but they are not the owners. The Federal Reserve Board, headed by Mr. Alan Greenspan, is nothing more than a liaison advisory panel between the owners and the Federal Government. The FED, as they are more commonly called, mandates that the DTC process every securities transaction in the US. It's no wonder that the DTC (including the Participants Trust Company, now the Mortgage-Backed Securities Division of the DTC) is owned by the same stockholders as the Federal Reserve System. In other words, the Depository Trust Company is really just a 'front' or a division of the Federal Reserve System.

"DTC is 35.1% owned by the New York Stock Exchange on behalf of the Exchange's members. It is operated by a separate management and has an independent board of directors. It is a limited purpose trust company and is a unit of the Federal Reserve." - *New York Stock Exchange, Inc.*

Now, let's see how this effects the average working American family. If you're not aware how the system works, you should visit or call a stock broker or bank and instruct them you want to purchase some shares of common stock or a small municipal bond, for example. They will set up a brokerage account for you and act as your agent with full durable power of attorney (which you must legally sign over to them) to conduct business on your behalf, upon your buy or sell instructions. The broker will place your stock or bond purchase into their safekeeping under a "street name". According to Mr. McNeff of the DTC, *no* bank or broker can place any stock or bond into their firm's own name due to Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and Security and Exchange Commission (SEC) regulations.

The broker or bank must then send the transaction to the DTC for **ledger posting** or **book entry settlement** under mandate by the Federal Reserve System. Remember, since your bank or broker can't use their name on the certificate, they use a fictitious *street name*. **"Since the DTC is a banking trust company, we can't hold the certificates in our name, so the DTC transfers the certificates to our own private holding company or nominee name."** states Mr. McNeff. The DTC's private holding company or street name, as shown on certificates we have personally examined from numerous certificate holders, is shown as either **"CEDE and Company"**, **"Cede Company"** or **"Cede & Co"**. We have searched every source known to learn who CEDE really is, but have been unable to get any background information on them. Is Cede Company fictitious or is their identity perhaps a larger secret than DTC? We must presume that the information Mr. McNeff gave us was correct when he confirmed that Cede Company was a controlled private holding company of the DTC. We have now found the following proof that CEDE is real from the Bear Stearns internet site:

NEW YORK, New York — March 16, 1999 — Bear Stearns Finance LLC today announced that it will redeem all of the 6,000,000 outstanding 8.00% Exchangeable Preferred Income Cumulative Shares, Series A ("EPICS") of Bear Stearns Finance LLC, liquidation preference of \$25.00 per Series A Share, CUSIP number G09198105. **All of the Series A Shares are held by Cede & Co., as nominee of The Depository Trust Company, and the payment of the redemption price will be made to Cede & Co.** by Chase-Mellon Shareholder Services, LLC, as paying agent, whose address is: 85 Challenger Road, Ridgefield Park, New Jersey 07660.

The banks and brokers are merely **custodians** for their clients. By federal law (SEC), they cannot hold any assets in the customer's name. The assets must be held in the name of DTC's holding company, CEDE & Co. That's how DTC has more than \$19 trillion dollars of assets in trust... or is it really in "trust" if the private Federal Reserve System is technically holding it in their "unknown" entity's name? Obviously, if stock and bond certificates you've purchased aren't in your name, then the "holder" (the Federal Reserve System) could theoretically refuse to surrender them back to you under a "national emergency" according to the **Trading with the Enemy Act** (*as amended*). **Is this the collateral being held by the private Federal Reserve System to pay off the national debt owed to them by our federal government, first initiated by Lincoln's debt bonds of 1864?**

According to Mr. McNeff, the DTC was a former member of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), and **"Our sister company is the National Securities Clearing Corporation... the NSCC"** (they have since merged). He was correct since we now know that the NYSE holds 35.1% of the "ownership" of the DTC on behalf of their NYSE members. Simply put, the Depository Trust Company absolutely controls *every* paper asset transaction in the United States as well as the majority of overseas transactions, and they now physically hold (as of April 1999) **99%** of all stock and bond book-entries in *their* street name, *not* the actual owner's names. If you have stock or bond certificates in your name buried in your back yard or under your mattress, we suggest you keep them there. If not, it might be very wise to cancel your

brokerage account and power of attorney status, re-register the stocks and bonds in your name (if you still can), and keep them hidden where only *you* know their location. Otherwise, you have absolutely no control over them (see **Part II** of our exclusive research report on the DTC for more information on *beneficial ownership* status). However, getting a stock or bond certificate these days is not so easy if possible at all:

"For the most part, issuers know little about the role of the Depository Trust Company (DTC). The DTC was created in 1973 as a user-owned cooperative for post-trade settlement. Our members are banks and broker/dealers, whom we refer to as participants. We handle listed and unlisted equities, including 51,000 equity issues and 170,000 corporate debt issues, equating to more than 78% of shares outstanding on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). We also have more than 95% of all municipals on deposit.

In the 1980s, the "Group of 30" [business leaders] recommended that stock certificates be eliminated, because physical certificates create risk. The Securities Exchange Commission (SEC) issued a concept release in 1994 to gradually decrease certificates, providing optional direct registration on the books of the issuer instead of a certificate.... this enhances the portability of shares between transfer agents and brokerage accounts. With the direct registration system, brokers transmit instructions to purchase through DTC, which the issuer or transfer agent then registers, so shares can be delivered electronically." -John D. Faith, Manager, Corporate Trust Services, The Depository Trust Company (1996)

Now we're about to reveal to you the most shocking discovery we came across during our research into this matter. Most of us remember a few years back the purported computerized selling of stocks that resulted in Wall Street's "Black Monday":

Dow Dives 508.32 Points in Panic on Wall Street

"The largest stock-market drop in Wall Street history occurred on "Black Monday" -- October 19, 1987 -- when the Dow Jones Industrial Average plunged 508.32 points, losing 22.6% of its total value. That fall far surpassed the one-day loss of 12.9% that began the great stock market crash of 1929 and foreshadowed the Great Depression. The Dow's 1987 fall also triggered panic selling and similar drops in stock markets worldwide" - Source: [Facts on File World News CD ROM](#)

The stock exchanges had dramatic record losses, and a record volume of shares were traded on that infamous Monday in October 1987. We all asked ourselves how computers could have done this by themselves without someone knowing about it. After all, someone has to program a computer to tell it what to do, what not to do, or even when to do or not do it.

During my telephone conversation, Mr. McNeff was trying to assure me that they [the DTC] have **"never lost a certificate or made a mistake in a book ledger transaction"**. In attempting to give me an example of how trustworthy the DTC is when I asked him how he could back up such a statement, he replied **"DTC's first controlled test was 4 or 5 years ago. Do you remember Black Monday? There were 535 million transactions on Monday, and 400 million transactions on Tuesday"**. He was very proud to inform me that **"DTC cleared every transaction without a single glitch!"**. *Read these quotes again:* He stated that *Black Monday was a controlled test*. Black Monday was a *deliberately* manipulated disaster for many Americans at the whim of a *controlled test* by the DTC.

What was the purpose of this test? Common sense tells you that you test something before you intend to use it. It's quite obvious that the stock markets are going to 'crash and burn' at some future date and for some 'unknown' reason since the controlled test was so successful. Was this just one of the planned tests for a Y2K internationally planned worldwide economic meltdown? The **Great Depression** is about to be repeated, and it will be as deliberate and manipulated as the first one that began with the stock market crash of 1929. We are, without a doubt, on the brink of the **Mother of all economic Depressions**. As of May 3, 1999, the Dow Jones Industrial Average (DJIA) went above a record 11,000 points. Just prior to the 1929 stock market crash, Wall Street was posting record prices, record earnings, and record profits.... just like the scenario we are experiencing today. Will Y2K be a manipulated and deliberate a financial meltdown? Too many facts already support this probability.

On June 7, 1995, the federal government issued a new regulation requiring stock and bond certificate transfers to be cleared in three days instead of the previous five day time period. It coincided with the infamous **Regulation CC** that purportedly gave us faster three day availability of funds from deposited checks. This means that brokers and banks must get your stock or bond transaction into the street name (Cede & Co.) of the DTC within 3 working days. That's hard to do considering banks claim it takes 3 or more days to clear a check that you've submitted to pay for a stock purchase. But, there's a reason for this new regulation and it coincides with the introduction of the new FRS "dollars".

On February 22, 1996, "**the DTC will flip the switch**" according to Mr. McNeff. "**What switch?**", I asked. "**This is the day that clearing house funds will no longer be accepted for stock or bond transactions**" was my reply from Jim. "**Instead, only Fed Funds will be accepted**". Fed Funds, or a Fedwire, are electronic computer ledger debit transfers between Federal Reserve System member banks. No checks or drafts have been allowed from that day, just as Mr. McNeff accurately stated. This is more commonly called a 'cashless transaction'. I call it the reality of the mark of the beast. This is the manifestation of the new international god, the New World Order [I prefer the term 'New World DISorder' as a more accurate description].

Consider this my fellow Christian Americans: All pension funds and other institutional 'managed funds' are comprised of paper asset investments such as stocks, bonds, and mutual funds. These certificates are technically in the name of DTC's private holding company, CEDE and Company. The DTC is owned by the private **Federal Reserve System owners** (Click for a complete list of names). Congress has attempted, on no less than two occasions since 1995, to pass legislation allowing pension funds to be used by the government as purported 'loans'. All the Federal Reserve System has to do is hand it over. *But*, what happens to the people counting on those pension fund investments in order to feed themselves in their retirement? Too bad for them.... they're out of luck because for the 'good of the nation', they may be forced to share or relinquish their lifetime of hard-earned wealth. This can be done *without* the consent of Congress under an Executive Order based on the **War and Emergency Powers Act** and a state of **National Emergency**, just like we are already under (See further **Executive Orders**). Since the Federal Reserve System already holds our stocks and bonds in their fictitious DTC "street name", CEDE, then perhaps they'll cash them in for the federal government's failure to repay the loans that have become way overdue. Heck, some of Lincoln's gold backed bonds from 1864 have not been repaid yet.... and for a reason.

On March 6, 1933, all bullion gold and gold coins were forcibly taken from the hands of private citizens (see New York Times). Under the War Powers Act, President Roosevelt declared a national emergency touted as a "Banking Holiday". It was declared due to the deliberately calculated stock market crash that preceded the Great Depression. Where did this gold end up? Into the hands of the Federal Reserve System owners. The majority is stored in the impervious rock vaults they own beneath New York City. Is it any surprise that the DTC physically holds all the remaining non-book entry issued stock and bond certificates in the same place?

Technically, our entire nation is still under the Executive Order declaration of the War Powers Act and in a continual state of national emergency (See Clinton's 1994 Executive Order 12919). The President can enforce any new emergency at any time under Executive Order or Presidential Directive. In 1995, we [the former North Bridge News] published that we expected a new national "dollar" emergency to be declared within a year or two. Just like we thought at the time, they have now blamed it on the purported drug dealers who are allegedly destroying our currency by money laundering schemes.

Since late 1996, old U.S. \$100 FRB notes issued by the Federal Reserve Bank are being exchanged for new \$100 FRS issued by the Federal Reserve System. These new notes have scannable magnetic platinum encryption on the plastic strips embedded inside the bills. The U.S. Treasury claims this is for "the blind". Now, new \$20 and \$50 FRS's are replacing the older notes as well. What people don't realize is that very soon, the older FRB notes will no longer be 'legal' and there will be a penalty for hoarding them. This is what happened to those Americans holding gold and gold coins after 1933.

"We are most gratified with the successful introduction of the new \$100 and \$50 notes and look forward to the same success with the new \$20s," Chairman Greenspan said. For the first time, a machine-readable capability has been incorporated for the blind. A new feature in the \$20 will facilitate the development of convenient scanning devices that could identify the note as a \$20. -U.S. Treasury, Office of Public Affairs, RR-2449 released May 20, 1998.

Why new paper 'money' and for what purpose? Because the new FRS notes in your pocket can be scanned and whoever scans them can know exactly how much money you have on you. The older FRB notes are not encoded to do this. This writer knows firsthand of at least one machine, manufactured by Diebold, Inc. (a/k/a InterBold) that scans the money in your pockets, wallet or purse no different in theory than a credit card scanner, but much more sophisticated. I participated in a 'test' of this machine at a U.S. international airport in 1998. To me, it looks much like the standard metal detector scanners you walk through at all airports. I was asked (by who I believe was a U.S. Treasury Agent, as he introduced himself and flashed his ID quickly in my face so I couldn't read it) if I had any of the *new* \$100 or \$50 bills in my pockets. I looked in my wallet and saw I had one new \$100 FRS note. I told him "yes", then he said **"Good, but don't tell me how much"**. After saying he would "really appreciate it" if I would help them with a test, he asked me to walk through what looked like a typical airport scanner. No beeps. No noise. No sound at all. He looked at a computer screen and said **"Do you have a new \$100 bill?"**. When I confirmed that was true, he thanked me and told me to please move on. I tried to ask him how the machine knew that, but he ignored my question. I took a good look at the scanning system and believe I have now spotted them at Kennedy, Atlanta, Miami and Los Angeles airports.

The odd part about this is that these machines seem to all be located in the customs areas where you *enter* the U.S. from a foreign country. Obviously, they want to know if someone is carrying more than \$10,000 *into* the U.S. Common sense dictates that they should be more concerned about people *leaving* with more than \$10,000 if they're really trying to thwart the drug dealers.... until you begin to realize that there must be some other hidden agenda: They are apparently going to stop money from *entering* the U.S. for a reason.

Will the President call for the confiscation of all gold bullion and bullion coins as Roosevelt did? Who will end up with it? The **Federal Reserve System owners**, just like before. Since June 1998, international gold supplies have been so low that some private Swiss Banks have been paying a premium above the market wholesale value for gold bullion. This was confirmed to us by a gold and diamond mining Chief Executive from Rex Mining in Guinea, West Africa, who supplies raw gold to a major Swiss Banking company smelter and processor. The spot gold market has been manipulated to keep the price low so that the Federal Reserve System owners can purchase all that is available through their various trusts and corporations. World gold availability on the open market is now at a record low and mining production of gold is also at a record low output.

What happened to 'supply and demand' with gold and silver? Normally, when supply is high the price decreases. When supply is low, precious metal prices increase. Perhaps the private FED will peg the new dollar to gold prices, as many experts have already speculated. What will stocks and bonds purchased with old dollars be worth then? Pennies to the dollar, so to speak. Who ends up being the only winner? The Federal Reserve System stockholders. They control the circulation amounts of paper money in the U.S. Combine that with the new scanner to stop large amounts from entering into the U.S., and the scenario amounts to a planned shortage of paper FRS notes, the banning of the older FRB notes, and the soon to be astronomical price of gold which most Americans will be forbidden to have or hoard, once again. The facts we've presented in this report all point to this.

People will be at the mercy of the federal government for daily food and for jobs. Checks are soon to be totally phased out. Banks issue ATM debit cards and tell you they must charge more for your account if you use a real live human teller instead of the machine. The switch is being turned on. This is not speculation. This is the truth of reality. It's already been tested, and their new system works. Just ask Jim McNeff of the DTC.

**The day has come when you must decide to accept
or reject the beast and the New World Disorder!**

The Depository Trust Company!

You don't own your Stocks
or Bonds anymore...
The Depository Trust Company does!

In Part I of this series, excerpts of which were first published in November 1995 by the former North Bridge News, we exposed **The Depository Trust Company** (DTC) as the Unknown \$ 9.1 Trillion Company. It appears that our startling discoveries of the inner-workings of the DTC had only scratched the surface. We'd like to add more fuel to this blazing fire by further exposing the DTC and those behind it.

The Depository Trust Company has grown since October 1995. On July 1998, this amount was estimated by a DTC employee at more than \$11 Trillion. As of April 19, 1999, the DTC itself has stated in a press release that their asset value is nearly \$19 trillion. In 3 1/2 years, their assets increased nearly \$ 10 Trillion. That's a lot of stocks and bonds supposedly held in trust. The latest trend over the past ten years is for stock and bond brokers to offer "book-entry ownership" *only*. Every book-entry stock or bond is literally owned by the DTC. Since 1985, most bond and many stock issuers have converted from the issuance of certificates to book-entry systems administered and controlled by the DTC. As of March 1999, the **National Securities Clearing Corporation** (NSCC) and the **Participants Trust Company** (PTC) are now merged into the DTC. Practically, there isn't one stock or bond issued that is not controlled by the DTC.

If you purchase any stock or bond through a broker, it is being held for you under a "street name" by the DTC unless you have specifically requested to hold the certificate yourself. If you have a book entry stock or bond, you won't be issued a certificate. It's important to note that you have purchased that particular stock or bond *without* becoming a **registered holder** of the actual stock or bond certificate. Instead, you have become a **beneficial owner**. The difference between the two is like night and day. Take the time to absorb and understand the following definitions:

REGISTERED HOLDER- A Registered Holder literally possesses, owns, and holds, his stock or bond with his name appearing on the face of the certificate. The company that issued the certificate has registered the owner's (holder's) name on their official books. This is the safest way to own a paper asset. You literally possess the fully registered certificate and only you can transfer or sell it. By all Rights and definition of law, you are the owner. You have it, you hold it, you possess it, and you keep it. You have the complete control over it.

BENEFICIAL OWNER- A Beneficial Owner is nothing more than a beneficiary, "**One who is entitled to the benefit of a contract**"- *A Dictionary of Law, 1893*. All book-entry stocks and bonds you purchase make you the beneficial owner, not the registered holder. The owner of a book-entry stock or bond is the entity or name that it is registered under.

The DTC owns that bond or stock, not you. Rather than in your name, it's registered (as the legal Registered Owner or agent) in their "street name", **Cede & Company**. (In the past, it may have been registered in your broker's street name, but this is no longer allowed). The DTC is the **Registered Owner** - holder - of your stock or bond. The DTC is the legal property-holder, share-holder, stock-holder, owner and purchaser. Your name appears nowhere on the book entry or certificate as the actual owner. Instead, you have been designated by the legal registered owner, the DTC, as the **Beneficial Owner**. This means that your lawful Rights in that stock or bond are confined to that of a successor or heir.

At the **University of Utah College of Law**, we found the following examination question about Cede & Co.:

The common stock of LargeCo, Inc. is publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange. **Over 2/3rds of the shares are registered on LargeCo's books in the name of Cede & Co. Cede is a depository company which holds the shares as nominee on behalf of brokerage firms, mutual funds and other active traders. The brokerage firms in turn are also nominees with respect to some of the shares, which they hold on behalf of their customers. Nominees, such as Cede and brokerage firms holding for customers, view the customer as the beneficial owner of the shares and consider the customer to be the one with the right to vote the shares; mutual funds, however, view the fund as the owner of the shares it holds and vote the shares themselves.**

Most of the remainder of LargeCo's stock (26% of the total) is held by the Large family, which is still actively involved in management. LargeCo is aware that the beneficial owner of about half the stock registered in Cede's name, is the Small family, who live next door to the Larges in downtown Rome, and that the remainder of the Cede stock is beneficially owned by several well known mutual funds.

According to the DTC, under the **US Security and Exchange Commission (SEC)** rules, you only have the right to "**receive proceeds or other advantages as the beneficiary**". You are not the owner... you are the **consignee**, "**One who has deposited with a third person an article of property for the benefit of a creditor**"- *A Dictionary of Law, 1893*. In legal terms, you are considered the **heir presumptive** or **heir at law** to the stock or bond you paid for. The DTC controls, possesses as creditor, holds and owns your book-entry stock or bond. This is a difficult pill to swallow for those who have placed their assets in stocks and bonds over the past decade. Your broker sends you a fancy accounting every month of your purported holdings, along with dividend and interest payments paid. The fact is, you only receive the **benefit of ownership** (interest and dividends) without holding title to your property. You are at the mercy of the **registered owner**, the DTC. If you don't believe this is true, then call your broker right now and ask them who's name is listed as the **Registered Holder** of your book-entry stocks and bonds. If you're lucky, the broker will tell you "why of course you're the **Beneficial Owner**", then you'll know the truth.

He may emphasize to you that the stocks and bonds are being held in "safe keeping" for your own protection. This is broker language for "your stocks and bonds are held by the DTC in their street name as the creditor".

From J.P. Morgan's internet site:

Registered and beneficial shareholders

There are two types of shareholders: registered, who hold an ADR in physical form, and beneficial, whose ADRs are held by third-parties and are listed under a "nominee" or "street" name (see chart below).

Registered shareholders are listed directly with the issuer or its U.S. transfer agent. The transfer agent handles the record-keeping associated with changes in share ownership, distribution of dividend payments, and investor inquiries; it also facilitates annual meetings. An issuer's depository bank can provide the identities of registered shareholders on a regular basis. However, this may not provide the level of shareholder identification required for a successful investor relations effort. **Registered shareholders are typically individual investors who have physical possession of their share certificates**, generally in lots of 100 shares or fewer. **The registered list also includes nominee names such as Cede & Co., which represent the aggregate position of the Depository Trust Company (DTC), the primary safekeeping, clearing, and settlement organization for securities traded in the United States. DTC uses electronic book-entry to facilitate settlement and custody rather than the physical delivery of certificates.**

Beneficial shareholders, which can include individual as well as institutional investors, **do not have physical possession of their certificates**; third-party broker-dealers or custodian banks hold their securities on their behalf. **These shares are said to be held in street name because they are kept with the DTC in the name of the broker-dealer or the custodian bank - not the underlying shareholder.** Lists of beneficial shareholders who do not object to disclosing their holdings are available from banks and broker-dealers. These lists, called NOBO for Non-Objecting Beneficial Owner, typically provide the names of individual investors.

To help identify institutional investors, who do not usually disclose their holdings, issuers use publicly available filings. Large holders, including investment managers, are required to make periodic filings - such as 13-F, 13-G, and 13-D - with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) disclosing the name and value of the positions in their portfolios, which brings us to the street name used, registered, and designated by the DTC as the registered owner of over \$19 Trillion (USD) of our stocks and bonds... **CEDE & Co.** Everyone in the brokerage business keeps pronouncing this name as "See Dee" and Company, but it's spelled C-E-D-E and pronounced "Seed". This is where the real irony comes.

Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, 1990, the word **Cede** is defined as "**To yield up; to assign; to grant; to surrender; to withdraw. Generally used to designate the transfer of territory from one government to another**". In the *Black's 1951 Fourth Edition*, it lists the following as supportive case law; *Goetze v. United States, C.C.N.Y., 103 Fed. 72*.

Have you made the connection yet? Your book-entry stocks and bonds and all stock and bond certificates purchased through your broker and held by them under your brokerage account are owned by CEDE & COMPANY (the DTC) as the registered owner. You have surrendered, assigned and granted ownership to someone else other than yourself. Their name says it all. How ironic and sarcastic can they be?

"CEDE- To surrender possession of, especially by treaty. See Synonyms at 'relinquish'." - American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, 3rd Edition of 1992

If Americans had any idea that they have relinquished the lawful ownership of their stocks and bonds to someone or something else, there would be a revolution. In a sense, that's why we are exposing this paper asset scam to you. The point is, now that you know the truth, do something about it and get your assets back into your name.

Our suggestion to you is this: If you don't literally have every stock and bond registered certificate in your possession, then promptly call your broker and tell him you want all your securities transferred and re-registered into your name as the **Registered Holder and Owner**. If he says he can't do that because your stock or bond is a book-entry transaction only, we strongly suggest, for your own security, that you sell your book-entry assets immediately. Don't let the broker tell you that it's "safer" for you if they keep your certificates. Remember, you know the truth. Even if all your stock and bond certificates were burned in a fire, the process to have them replaced is simple. If someone were to steal your certificates, you simply report them stolen to the company that issued them and they're automatically cancelled, just like a stolen credit card. Replacement certificates are then issued to replace the lost or stolen originals.

Most people don't realize that when they open a brokerage account, they have entered into an contractual agreement allowing the broker to assign the stocks and bonds to an undisclosed creditor, the DTC. (We suggest you read the small print on your brokerage agreement). This gives the broker your express written permission to place all your securities into the ownership of the DTC. Your broker is an agent for the DTC through mandatory Securities and Exchange Commission regulations and mandates by the **Federal Reserve System** private bank. Your broker represents *them*, not you. Your brokerage account is nothing more than a ledger of accounting. It reflects no assets held in your name. The assets are registered in a "street name" that is *not* you or your name. Sure.... you receive the interest and dividends, but you do so as a *beneficiary* to the real owner. Your brokerage account in no way, shape, or manner reflects who literally owns your securities. What you own is a brokerage account and nothing more.

A greater consideration is just exactly who does the DTC hold these securities for? As the owner, who has the DTC pledged these securities to? Our research points to the **Federal Reserve System**, an international private banking cartel with major offices found in Moscow, London, Tokyo, and Peking. By treaty with the United Nations and in compliance with the Bretton Woods Agreement, the DTC under regulation of the Federal Reserve System has pledged all those stocks and bonds to the International Monetary Fund (IMF). These are the same paper securities found in your IRA and pension fund accounts, as well as in your brokerage account. Remember, you don't own them.... you're just a beneficiary.

The truth is, the securities you purchased and paid for with your hard earned money is collateral for the United Nations which is backed by the Federal Reserve System and it's associated agencies, such as the International Monetary Fund. Is it any wonder that the UN can operate year after year with increasing budgets, but without sufficient funds? The UN has nearly \$11 Trillion of backing and reserves, thanks to millions of duped Americans. We are financing the **New World Dis-Order** with our stocks and bonds.

Financial Fraud & Money Laundering on Wall Street

The inside story on the Depository Trust Company a/k/a CEDE & Co.

A simple scenario takes place each week within the upper echelon of the financial powers that control America - and the world - a/k/a International Organized Crime. In any other form of commercial interaction or business, the sale of non-existent stocks is considered absolute fraud. But, when you have the power to control paper or electronic accounting ledgers, you also have the power to *create* facsimile assets from nothing but thin air and journal entries. This is where the **Depository Trust Company** (DTC) and CEDE & Co., the DTC's "street name" or "nominee name", come into play. By holding their stock in the particular name of CEDE & Co., all "DTC Participants" have the means of making fast and immediate illegal profits. Of course, none of this could happen without the full consent of the DTC, *et al.*

For background reference, the Depository Trust Company (DTC) filed their original Organization Certificate with the New York Superintendent of Banks on March 20, 1973. In July 1999, The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (DTCC) became the name of the new holding company created by the merger of the DTC and the National Securities Clearing Corporation (NSCC). For a list of the DTC Participants and links to their public pages, go to **DTC Participants**.

Counterfeit Public Stocks

In the past year, we have been shown more than twenty ways to make illegal profits from fraudulent stock shares using the DTC "shield" - CEDE & Co. - to hide the fact that those particular shares are never actually issued by a public company. In simpler terms, **these are counterfeit shares of public stock**. A couple of "whistle blower" Wall Street brokers, along with a former employee of a major market operator, have shown us how this scam operates. We'll try our best to explain it to you in the simplest terms possible.

DTC Participants are exclusively able to issue and sell non-existent stock to the public. It's that simple. However, the process as to how this actually takes place is usually not quite so simple. This financial scam may seem like a shock to the average American, but it's time for a reality check. We've warned you since 1995 to insist on physically holding your stock certificates in your own name, and now we have more facts to support what Parts I & II of this investigative series were revealing.

When price is restrained below the balance of supply and demand, public buyers predominate and money pours in while more and more bogus receipts flood the market. Eventually, those corporations must be bankrupted as the market operator and his criminal co-conspirators will never buy back their counterfeit receipts. This has been the *modus operandi* of international organized crime throughout history and should be of no surprise to any of us. We should all read Isaiah, Chapter 10, verses 13-14 over and over until it sinks in that we're the victims of professional financial thieves.

According to the DTC, there are currently 11,000 brokerage firms, dealers, custodian banks, institutional investors, transfer agents, paying agents, and exchange and redemption agents for securities issuers considered as "DTC Participants". There is the possibility that any or all of these DTC Participants could issue counterfeit stocks at any given time. Being extremely conservative, let's imagine if this was done on a weekly basis by *only* 5% of the DTC Participants. In such a scenario, there would be 550 worthless offerings of counterfeit stock issued each week. If each offering is for 100,000 shares at a buy price of \$7 (\$700,000 each offering), the total profit to the DTC Participants each week would be \$385 Million dollars from nothing more than ledger entries and thin air. That's an annual "profit" of \$200,585,000,000 or *more than* **\$200 BILLION**.

The sale of counterfeit shares of a public corporation is illegal, unlawful, and immoral, yet the purported agencies and departments (*i.e.* the SEC, FBI, etc.) that are supposed to "police" such illegal organized crime activities do nothing. As the market operators control prices, eventually those corporations will be bankrupted. This is because the market operators are not about to buy back their bogus receipts at higher prices. The entire Wall Street scam operation functions in this manner. Once the market operator sells bogus shares to control and manipulate prices, he puts himself, as well as his co-conspirators profiting from secret omnibus accounts, in a very profitable position.

If anyone believes that the Executive Branch, the Congress, the Justice Department, the FBI, the SEC, *et al*, are protecting your interests, you had better wake up. For example, why is the U.S. Treasury "borrowing" paper fiat money from the private Federal Reserve Corporation? The fake debt, based on "borrowed" counterfeit paper money, created by accounting notations, and printed by the U.S. Treasury when paper receipts are needed, would not exist if government were not protecting the thieves. Having to pay the private Federal Reserve Bank Corporation a billion dollars a day for bogus interest - the result of mere accounting notations - is blatant thievery from the U.S. Treasury and all Americans.

For more details, see *Corruption in Government*, **Scam #1**.

Derivatives & Depositary Receipts

We believe that the controlled media dis-information as to what a financial 'Derivative' actually is has been the greatest factor into the fraud now overpowering our nation's economy. Forget about the drug dealers and their alleged money laundering schemes. This is much larger in terms of monetary value.... and it's highly illegal and far from being lawful or moral.

The DTC allows their Participants (banks and brokerage firms) to issue **Derivatives** or Derivative Instruments. A derivative is basically defined as something that can be made or derived from another; a spin-off based on an original. As used in the current financial world, a Derivative is a **Depositary Receipt (DR)**. There are two basic forms of Depositary Receipts: an American Depositary Receipt (ADR) and a **Global Depositary Receipt (GDR)**.

In essence, DTC Participants issue derivative stocks - Depositary Receipts (DR's) - based upon previously issued shares of public stock held in the name of CEDE & Co. on behalf of the beneficial owners, the actual purchasers. The DTC Participants don't own the legitimately issued stocks they issue their DR's against. Those stocks are held in trust for the public, the purchasers of the stock, in the name of CEDE & Co.

First, let's assume that a DTC Participant decides to sell one million shares of non-existent stock, or unsecured DR's, in each of the next 100 **Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board (OTCBB)** companies at an average price of \$3 per share [\$300 million]. Given the fact that an average 98% of these OTCBB companies fail, they would earn \$294 million in sales, *plus* interest, by selling stock shares that don't exist. This is not gambling. **This is a sure bet knowing that the "DTC house rules" are guaranteeing them a fixed return.** But.... the game isn't over yet! This still leaves them with 2 OTCBB companies that haven't failed and they can parlay that into even greater profits.

Secondly, let's also assume that the two left-over solvent OTCBB companies have a \$10 per share price. Instead of "covering" - guaranteeing - the two successful OTCBB companies and taking a \$16 million loss (2% of the original \$300 million investment), the DTC Participant does an "Offshore Private Placement Regulation S" underwriting for these two companies. The standard brokerage discount on a Regulation S offering is 60%. This means they will pay the company issuing the stock \$8 million. If they simply deduct their \$3 million gains from the sale of these stocks several years earlier, the DTC Participant loses \$2 million on the books but, in reality, grosses \$292 million in profits, plus interest.

For more details, see *Wall Street Thievery*, **Scam #2**.

DTC Participant Tax Havens

Why doesn't the DTC Participant show this gain on their books? The DTC Participant creates their own tax haven client that technically sells the non-existent stock, or DR's, and this "tax-free client" makes the profit. Since the profit isn't legally taxable due to their tax haven status, nobody (particularly the IRS) cares who makes the money. However, neither the bank shareholders, nor the brokerage firm shareholders, share in this profit. This is a real fraud scam in the real world.

Now, let us explain how this works using a real scenario. Examine the recent Bear Stearns - a DTC Participant - SEC 10-Q Filing at the end of 1999 [the Securities and Exchange Commission Form 10-Q is a report filed quarterly by reporting companies which includes unaudited financial statements and is supposed to provide a view of the company's financial position during the year]. Their 10-Q report showed that Bear Stearns had about \$819 million in assets with roughly a **\$34 Billion** (that's BILLION) "short position". [A short position is a situation whereby an investor borrows stock certificates for delivery at the time of the short sale. Should the seller be able to sell the stock at a price lower than the borrowed cost, a profit is made]. So then, where does the profit from the short sales go since it doesn't seem to go to the brokerage firm or Bear Stearns' stockholders? It goes to their created "tax haven client".

The brightest red light concerning this is that these short positions are rarely "covered" nor guaranteed by any real assets. Everything is on paper and nothing of any substance value is backing it up. This is due to the fact that the public company eventually fails as a result of its share price collapse from the nonexistent stock. In layman's terms, this means that the profit from the short sale is NOT subject to taxes because the contract is never completed.

Banks and Organized Crime Syndicates

As previously discussed above, banks and stock brokerage firms use the actual public stock being held by the DTC - in their street name CEDE & Co. - to issue bank Depository Receipts (DR's). The bank does NOT physically, nor electronically, hold the stock for the Depository Receipt, nor do they actually own it. Rather, the bank or brokerage merely issues DR's and the public buys them as if they were actual and legitimate stock certificates. If any questions concerning the actual possession by the bank or brokerage of the stock certificates are asked by an investor-purchaser, they reply that the stock is being held by the DTC. It's very odd that the average investor never asks the bank or their broker to prove this. **In essence, the banks and brokerages issue 100% non-secured and worthless paper.** The DR's are worthless because they are *not* secured. The banks, along with the brokerage firms, make 100% PLUS on every sale of these counterfeit stocks. They get the full value for the DR's *plus* their commission on the sale. This is the scam of all scams.

At any time, anyone can issue an accepted financial instrument giving them the means to launder money". For example, look at the recent money laundering by Russian organized crime syndicates where the international banks sold DR's representing stocks on the mob's behalf . The banks issued the DR's and the buyers accepted the DR's as equivalent to the stock. The bottom line is that the seller of the stock was the Russian mobs. However, the banks shared the profits with the mob to gain access to the mob's money. The newspapers centered their headlines on the mob's laundering without explaining that this could have never taken place without the involvement of the banks and the DTC. This is the risk behind "Derivatives". You never know if the people holding the stocks used as the basis for the DR's are legitimate.

Let's not forget that we live in an instant society. If the average world citizen can't make money *right now*, in an instant, few will play the "money game". Money, or rather its ledger created facsimile, has become the god of this world. The stark reality behind the existence of the DTC is that it's nothing less than a protective shield for DTC Participants to create instant paper profits. Otherwise, the DTC and CEDE & Co. would have no practical reason to exist.

Who pays if Derivatives collapse the Markets?

The banks are covered by FDIC insurance. This means the U.S. taxpayer will pay for any losses. Consider the S&L Crisis of the the American taxpayer at 1980's, especially in Texas and California when banks were collapsing left and right. It cost least one Trillion dollars to SPIC insurance. The "bail out" the banks. The stock and mutual fund brokers are covered by SPIC isn't taxpayer backed, so a meltdown would mean the bankruptcy of the brokerage industrythis scenario, all . In brokerage firm client accounts would be forfeitedtaxpayer pick up the tab worthless. The alternative would be to have the and this seems to be the is this: If there's risk in "norm" in our current political society here in America. The issue to examine issuing these derivatives covered by FDIC & - and we know these risks are big - and the same derivative risks are to be SPIC insurance, what would happen if these derivative scams are exposed to the public and investors opt for a cash market by demanding their physical stock certificates?

The doomsday "Stock Market Program" was put into effect after the October 1987 stock market exchange "correction". This was brought about by the DTC. Directly attributable to this is the fact that the privately owned Federal Reserve System now has the "legal" right to buy "blue chip" Dow Jones Industrial Average (DJIA) stocks in a declining market. So far, the public has made money by having the FED support the stock market. The problem with this is that the full faith of the U.S. "dollar" now supports the stock market and that support is the investment made by the average working American. Binding the U.S. Federal Reserve Notes (FRN's), which are *not* "lawful dollars", to stocks increases the probability that FRN's must fail sooner or later. With each passing day, "sooner" becomes a timely reality.

How to legally launder money...

just like banks and brokerage firms do

As a result of our research, and thanks to a few "insiders" who helped us put this all together over the past year, we have come up with **21 Ways to Legally Launder Money** which mirror the actual goings-on in the financial world today.

If you think the movie *Wall Street* was a shocker, wait to you read *this* script....

Traditional Short Sale - Borrow the stock against a fifty percent margin. This is the only type of short sale that can be "squeezed" when the share price goes up. That's because the short seller must add money to their margin account. This is the most "legal" way to "legitimately" launder money, but also it's the riskiest for the launderer.

Market-maker Short Sale - U. S. Market-makers are *not* required to take physical delivery of stock certificates when they sell them. They are assumed to be a repository of the company's shares.

Brokerage House Short Sale - This is a decision by the broker *not* to execute a "buy order" from a client. The broker merely shows the stock as "owned" by the client on their monthly brokerage firm account statement - a paper transaction without reality - securities fraud. [If you don't think this really happens, they also have some swamp land in Florida for sale to add to your monthly brokerage statement]. This is the first reason to insist on holding your own stock certificates in your own hands and in your own name.

Never trust a broker, the DTC, or anyone else to "hold" your certificates. You become the "beneficial owner" when they placed your certificates in the "nominee name" of CEDE & Co.

Clearing House Short Sale - The Clearing House doesn't execute the buy order. Instead, they credit it to the brokerage firm client's account.

Naked Short Sale - This is where two brokerage firms agree to trade stock in a company with neither brokerage firm requesting physical delivery of the share certificates.

Insider Short Sale - This is when insiders, with restricted stocks, use their restricted shares to sell their company "short". It's supposed to be illegal according to the SEC. It was a common practice when the Regulation S Hold Period was 40 days, but a rarer occurrence lately.

Dodge Viper Short Sale - This is where a bloc of stock is purchased, then, the same stock is converted to derivatives (DR's) thus multiplying the original stock 100% or more. The short sale doesn't occur in the stock market, but the derivative or Depositary Receipt owners are holding a short position. We were told this is the most widely used method.

DTC Short Sale - This is when DTC sells short using the stocks they hold in their "street name", CEDE & Co.

International Short Sale - a/k/a stocks created offshore. The company is listed to trade outside the United States (usually in Canada). However, the company is trading in the United States and the shares are sold within the U.S. The short sale is moved into the primary country where the local brokers can ensure that the short position will be covered by the listed company if there is ever a successful short squeeze.

Judicial Short Sale - a/k/a LTV. *Scattered Securities* is an example of this short play. The Court in the LTV reorganization determined the exchange rate for new shares for old shares at three cents. The controlled financial media made sure that the Market didn't know about the Court decision. The old shares traded far higher than the Court Ordered exchange rate. The short sale was done by selling old shares and buying new shares *before* the Court mandated exchange of the share certificates.

Agent 007 Short Sale - Sellers who are insiders, or who allege themselves to be insiders, sell completely counterfeit stock to buyers outside regular or known market channels.

Desert Short Sale - Brokers sell stock at prices well above the actual trading price of the stock. This has been popular with German OTC stocks sold into the Middle East. The gap between the sale price and the trading price is an effective short sale.

DR Short Sale - Using counterfeit stock, the seller deposits it into an overseas bank. They then sell Depositary Receipts against the counterfeit shares held by the bank. This is done a lot in Asia.

Rockford Short Sale - An investment firm buys shares and takes physical delivery of the stock certificates. They replace the actual share certificates with counterfeit share certificates. Next, they sell the real shares back into the market and repeat the process. This practice does wonders for their balance sheet! This tactic was popularized by an episode of the Rockford TV Series. It's done a lot in the Asian markets (especially Hong Kong) with NYSE shares.

Tax Haven Bank Short Sale - Small banks, especially Caribbean banks, act as agents for their clients unwilling or unable to reveal their real identity. However, the bank client wants to buy some legitimate stock. The bank never buys the stock on behalf of the client. Instead, they simply show the sale within the bank's accounting system. This practice extends to gold and other precious metals and is the biggest scam used against U.S. investors in offshore banks. Take note that a majority of the Caribbean banks are backed - and owned - by various organized crime syndicates throughout the "new" Europe, especially former Soviet Union provinces that are now independent countries and recognized by the United Nations and EU.

Lost in the Mail Short Sale - The client-purchaser demands their stock or share certificate. The broker sends it via certified or registered mail to the wrong address - deliberately. The actual certificate is eventually returned to the broker. Using the signed return receipt, the broker claims the client has the share certificate. For the investor, perhaps a year or more is spent in proving it never arrived. Meanwhile, the broker has the stock certificate and can use it to cover other short sales. This happens frequently.

Margin Short Sale - The purchaser buys stock on margin. They can't take physical delivery of their share certificates, so the broker sells the margined account a/k/a non-existent stock.

Public Media Takeover Short Sale - Brokers add non-existent stock into a highly publicized company takeover with a legitimate stock transaction. The buyer of the other company pays for the non-existent shares. The short seller gets cash or stock in the buyer's company.

AWOL Short Sale - For many OTC stocks, about 3% of the "beneficial owners" cannot be accounted for each year. Usually, they die or forget they have the stock. Brokers can safely sell short 3% of the "float" each year relying on the fact that these beneficial owners will most likely never claim their stock. Some brokerage firms, relying on retirement age portfolios, sell short 5-10% hoping that the younger relatives never find out. Considering the numerous stock splits over the years, 10 shares in 1965 may well be 1,000 shares in 2000. This gives the broker "safe" odds even if the original certificate shows up and is cashed in at a future date, provided the broker doesn't get too greedy.

Counterfeit Stocks - Professionals regularly send counterfeit share certificates to stock Transfer Agents. Believe it or not, a surprising percentage are accepted as real share certificates. The result is that the professional thief has effectively sold short the shares involved in the certificate.

DR Float - The issuance of Depositary Receipts without ever holding the stock certificates. This goes along with selling the Depositary Receipts at a profit even though they have no "cover" in real assets.

The Shadow Government of the United States and the Decline of America

They Thought They Were *Free*

What no one seemed to notice, ... was the ever-widening gap ... between the government and the people. ... And it became always wider. ... the whole process of its coming into being, was above all diverting, it provided an excuse not to think for people who did not want to think anyway. ... Nazism gave us some dreadful, fundamental things to think about ... and kept us so busy with continuous changes and 'crises' and so fascinated ... by the machinations of the 'national enemies,' without and within, that we had no time to think about these dreadful things that were growing, little by little, all around us. ... Each step was so small, so inconsequential, so well explained or, on occasion, 'regretted,' that unless one understood what the whole thing was in principle, what all these 'little measures' ... must some day lead to, one no more saw it developing from day to day than a farmer in his field sees the corn growing. ... Each act ... is worse than the last, but only a little worse. You wait for the next and the next. You wait for one great shocking occasion, thinking that others, when such a shock comes, will join you in resisting somehow. You don't want to act, or even talk, alone... you don't want to 'go out of your way to make trouble.' ... And it is not just fear ... that restrains you; it is also genuine uncertainty. ... And you are an alarmist. You are saying that this must lead to this, and you can't prove it. ... But the one great shocking occasion, when tens or hundreds or thousands will join with you, never comes. That's the difficulty. The forms are all there, all untouched, all reassuring, the houses, the shops, the jobs, the mealtimes, the visits, the concerts, the cinema, the holidays. But the spirit, which you never noticed because you made the lifelong mistake of identifying it with the forms, is changed. Now you live in a world of hate and fear, and the people who hate and fear do not even know it themselves, when everyone is transformed, no one is transformed. ... You have accepted things you would not have accepted five years ago, a year ago, things your father ... could never have imagined."

Milton Mayer, "They Thought They Were Free, The Germans, 1938-45"
(1955, University of Chicago Press)

The Shadow Government of the United States and the Decline of America

In spite of the difficulties facing America, there is still no other place I'd rather live. Some say America has entered a "post-Christian" era. Our currency still says "In God We Trust". But does America still trust in God?

In 1787, **Benjamin Franklin** cautioned his fellow peers with these words: *"We have been assured...in the Sacred Writings, that except the Lord build the house, they labor in vain that build it."*

Now 206 years later, many of our political leaders seem to have forgotten that America is "one nation, under God".

Is God interested in the political affairs of man?

Colossians 1:16 says: *"For by Him all things were created; things in heaven and on earth, visible and invisible, whether thrones, or powers, or rulers, or authorities..."*

Wouldn't it be against His Divine nature if He was remiss in any area of His creation, including civil governments? Civil governments have been the major enslaving force in the history of mankind. In the 20th Century alone we've seen:

- 1) over 35 million battle-killed;
- 2) over 119 million have died at the hands of oppressive governments

I Corinthians 7:23 says: *"You were bought at a price, do not become slaves of men".*

Upon this premise rests our **Declaration of Independence** and our Constitution. *"All men are endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights."*

George Washington's statement: *"It is impossible to rightly govern the world without God and the Bible".*

The wisdom and beliefs of men such as Washington established our Constitutional Republic, which holds that:

- **The state is the servant of the people (not the provider as Marxism teaches);**
- **The Constitution is the supreme law of the land;**
- **A government which governs least, governs best.**

What's gone wrong? We have:

- **A President who endorses sodomy and abortion;**
- **Legislators who have balanced the budget once since 1960; and**
- **Regulations that now cost the taxpayer over \$460 billion/year and fill over 67,000 pages of the Federal Register.**

We've gone from the world's largest creditor nation to the world's largest debtor nation. To whom does this nation owe over \$5 trillion, which costs over \$800 million/day interest to service?

James 5:3 says: "You have hoarded wealth in the last days"

Who is James referring to?

Does this have anything to do with the New World Order?

- **What are its goals?**
- **Who's promoting it?**
- **How much power and influence do they have?**
- **How close is it?**

Think about these words spoken by **James Madison** in 1788 as the story unfolds: *"I believe there are more instances of the abridgment of the freedom of the people by gradual and silent encroachments of those in power than by violent and sudden usurpations".*

For centuries, international bankers have enjoyed a lucrative business by financing governments around the world, and command considerable influence in the policies of such governments. It has been profitable to them to encourage government debt - higher debt yields more interest.

As their wealth accumulated, these banking empires eventually owned, as private corporations, various central banks of Europe, such as the Bank of England, the Bank of France, and the Bank of Germany. These were privately owned monopolies, granted by heads of state, usually in return for loans.

These monopolies found that money on demand was only a fraction of money on deposit. By issuing paper bank notes, they created money out of nothing. Through fractional banking, they amassed fortunes. According to some, the House of Rothschild, by 1850, had more wealth than the combined monarchies of Europe.

Our Founders, aware that these European bankers sought a foothold here, framed our Constitution so that only Congress had the power over our monetary system.

There are historians, however, that contend that the Revolutionary War, the War of 1812, the Mexican War, and the Civil War were instigated by the European bankers in order to disrupt and control the U.S. economy.

By the end of the 19th Century, American industrialists and bankers, through the Industrial Revolution, had achieved great wealth. An excellent account of this is Matthew Josephson's 1934 book, entitled "The Robber Barons; the Great American Capitalists 1861-1901~(by Matthew Josephson, Harcourt, Brace and Co. New York 1934; available secondary market).

The industrialists were known as "Big Business" and the Wall Street bankers as the "Money Trust". The most prominent of these was banker J.P. Morgan.

It was Morgan, working with the European banking dynasties, who created the "Financial Panic of 1907". This was an effort to manipulate Congress to approve of a central bank.

In 1912, Woodrow Wilson became President. His chief advisor and administrator was Col. Edward Mandell House, who was a proponent of world government, a representative of the European banking dynasties, and had close ties with the Morgan interests.

In 1912, House wrote a book, wherein he laid out a plan to bring America into a world government. ("Philip Dru: Administrator", by **Col. Edward Mandell House**, 1912). On page 222, he wrote: "...our Constitution and our laws...are not only obsolete, but even grotesque".

His plan, and, to use his own words, "a conspiracy," would seek to achieve:

- **The establishment of a central bank;**
- **A progressive graduated income tax; and**
- **Control of both political parties in the U.S.**

What was House's goal? "Socialism as dreamed of by Karl Marx". House, who called himself the "unseen guardian angel" of the Federal Reserve Act, in concert with the Wall Street and European bankers, convinced President Wilson of the central bank concept.

The Federal Reserve Act was passed on **Dec. 23, 1913** (by a vote of 298 to 60 in the House of Representatives, and 43 to 25 in the Senate).

After the vote, Congressman **Charles A. Lindberg, Sr.** (father of the famous aviator) told Congress: *"This act establishes the most gigantic trust on earth...When the President signs this act, the invisible government by the money power, proven to exist by the Money Trust Investigation, will be legalized...The new law will create inflation whenever the trusts want inflation..."*

The Fed was then able to manipulate the money supply. In the six years prior to the 1929 Stock Market Crash, the Fed increased (or inflated) the money supply 62%, inducing unwise investments and market speculation by the public. When everything was in place, the bankers, who had been financing market speculation, called in their "24 hr. broker call loans", precipitating the Crash.

They were then in a position to loan the government billions of dollars to finance the nation out of the Depression. **Congressman Louis McFadden**, Chairman of the House Banking Committee, (1920-1931) said this: *"It (the Depression) was not accidental. The international bankers sought to bring about a condition of despair here so that they might emerge as rulers of us all"*.

How powerful is the Fed? **Congressman Wright Patman**, Chairman of House Banking Committee (in the 60's) said: *"In the U.S. today, we have in effect, two governments...we have the duly constituted government...then we have an independent, uncontrolled, and uncoordinated government in the Federal Reserve System, operating the money powers which are reserved to the Congress by the Constitution."*

The Fed **has never been audited**, and has resisted all attempts to do so. Lt. Col. Archibald Roberts (Director of Committee to Restore the Constitution) began a campaign on March 30, 1971, testifying before Wisconsin legislators about the fraud surrounding the Fed.

(The text of his address is in the **Congressional Record, E3212-E3224, entered April 19, 1971**). Roberts and other constitutional groups (including Washington state Senator Jack Metcalf) had, by the mid 80's, acquired about 20 states' support calling for audits/reforms, with no success.

Again, in the late 80's, Congressman Henry Gonzales (Texas) called for abolition of the Federal Open Market Committee and the repeal of the Federal Res. Act. (**HR 1469, 1470**). Congressman Phil Crane (Illinois) also introduced HR 70, calling for an audit.

Since Wilson took office, the national debt has risen from \$1 billion to over \$5 trillion - that's just "on budget" debt. When added to the "off budget" debt of the S&L debacle and unfunded retirement liabilities, the total now exceed our GDP.

Is the country bankrupt? **Roosevelt** declared so by **Executive Orders 6073, 6102, 6111**, and also by **EO 6260** on **March 9, 1933** (as proclaimed under the "Trading With the Enemy Act of 65th Congress, Oct. 6, 1917, and as codified at 12 U.S.C.A. 95a, which allows the President exceptional control under a "state of emergency"). On April 5, 1933, FDR issued this EO: *"All persons are required to deliver on or before May 1, 1933 all gold coins, gold bullion, and gold certificates now owned by them to a Federal Reserve Bank, branch or agency, or to any member bank of the Federal Reserve System."*

On June 5, 1933, Congress confirmed the bankruptcy. (through the "Joint Resolution to Suspend The Gold Standard And Abrogate The Gold Clause, June 5, 1933"). This was HJR 192, 73rd Congress, 1st session.

Then, through another EO issued by President Johnson in 1968, silver backing was removed from our currency. This made the country insolvent. The Fed issues currency no longer redeemable for silver. Furthermore, the Fed is not a government institution. You will never find them listed in phone directories under "government offices". It is a private corporation owned by approximately 300 Class 'A' stockholders. These people own the Fed by owning the stock of the largest member banks in the New York Federal Reserve Bank, which, for all practical purposes, is the Federal Reserve.

The controlling interest is held by less than a dozen international bankers, whose names, until recently, was one of the best-kept secrets of international finance:

Rothschild Banks of London & Berlin

Lehman Bros. Bank of N.Y.

Lazard Bros. Banks of Paris

Kuhn, Loeb Bank of N.Y.

Israel Moses Sief Banks of Italy

Chase Manhattan Bank of N.Y.

Warburg Bank of Hamburg & Amsterdam

Goldman, Sachs Bank of N.Y.

The most influential of the European interests is the Rothschild family in London. Each of the American interests is, in various ways, connected to this family, including the Rockefellers, who are by far the most powerful of the Fed's American stockholders (primarily through the Chase Manhattan Bank).

Thomas Jefferson issued this warning: *"If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks and the corporations that will grow up around them will deprive the people of all property until their children wake up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered."*

Today, less than 2% of Americans own their homes!

The Fed, like other central banks, uses a system called "fractional banking." Deposits become the "fraction", allowing the system to "create" as much as 770% of that deposit: i.e.: a \$1,000 deposit becomes approximately \$7,700 a \$10,000 deposit becomes approximately \$77,000.

In addition, examine the "amount due" on your property tax statement: You will not find a dollar sign affixed to that "amount".

Why? The Currency Act of 1792, which has never been revoked, defines a dollar as 412.5 grains of 9/10 fine silver (originally 371.25 grains of 11/12 fine silver). Federal Reserve Notes are not redeemable in silver. To affix a dollar sign to the "amount due" would be fraudulent. They know this - and you don't.

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 5 says that only Congress has the power to... *"coin money, regulate the value thereof...and fix the standard of weights and measures."*

Article 1, Section 10, Clause 1 says that *"No State shall...make anything but gold and silver coin a legal tender in payment of debts..."* The Federal Reserve operates in violation of the Constitution.

Since Col. House helped establish the Federal Reserve Act, and believed in socialism, is the central bank concept Marxist?

Plank 5 of Karl Marx's **Communist Manifesto** reads: *"Centralization of credit in the hands of the State, by means of a national bank with State capital, and an exclusive monopoly"*.

House also knew, that in addition to controlling a nation's monetary system, a method of taxation had to be established, and in 1913, the 16th Amendment was passed.

This graduated income tax was hailed by proponents as a "tax on the wealthy" (sound familiar?). Nothing could have been farther from the truth. As with the passage of the Federal Reserve Act, "Big Business" and the Wall Street bankers publicly denounced, but privately funded its promotion and passage. Why? Through their influence in government circles, they insured the necessary loopholes in the bill were included - tax-exempt foundations. By the time the 16th Amendment was passed, they had already established the Rockefeller and Carnegie Foundations.

Their wealth was allowed to compound tax-free while their competitors were saddled with tax burdens. The Amendment also allowed Congress to increase and broaden the tax on the general public.

Until 1929, the size and cost of the Federal government was Constitutionally limited: it borrowed little money and paid little interest.

The Depression years following the Stock Market Crash changed all this. With the country officially bankrupt in 1933, taxes could now be broadened and increased to finance government borrowing.

**Roosevelt's New Deal began the era of entitlements
which are with us today.**

Two points must be made here:

- 1) The 16th Amendment was never properly ratified. This has been proven in court. Two of the 36 states that had allegedly ratified the amendment were California and Kentucky - There is no record of California's vote, and Kentucky legislators voted against it 22-9. This violates the amendment procedure of our Constitution, Article V.
- 2) The 16th Amendment has Marxist roots. Plank 2 of the Communist Manifesto calls for: "a heavy progressive or graduated income tax".

Thus, the conspirators, through financial influence and brilliant deception, had established the mechanisms to:

- **run up the debt;**
- **collect the debt for themselves, to avoid the taxes necessary to pay the interest on the debt.**

The pillage of America began in earnest during the Depression. The Fed deflated (reduced) the money supply, forcing thousands into bankruptcy and foreclosure. The following was intended for leading financiers only, and appeared in the Civil Servants' Year Book, "**The Organizer**", **Jan. 1934**": *"Capital must protect itself in every way...Debts must be collected and loans and mortgages foreclosed as soon as possible. When through a process of law the common people have lost their homes, they will be more tractable and more easily governed by the strong arm of the law applied by the central power of leading financiers. People without homes will not quarrel with their leaders. This is well known among our principal men now engaged in forming an imperialism of capitalism to govern the world."*

Plank no. 1 of the Communist Manifesto is "abolition of private property".

The conspirators knew that the American people had to somehow be convinced into paying for the government borrowing that began during the Depression, Their opportunity came during W.W.II with the victory **Tax Act of 1942** (56 Stat, Ch. 619, pg. 884; October 21, 1942). This was a voluntary and temporary measure to help finance the war effort. Why was it voluntary? **Article I, Section 2, Clause 3 and Article I, Section 9, Clause 4** of the **Constitution** forbids direct taxation unless apportioned. Apportionment means to divide equally among the population (census). The last time direct taxes were laid upon the American people by apportionment was during the Civil War.

The Victory Tax Act of 1942 was repealed on May 29, 1944 (58 Stat, Ch. 210, pg. 234). With American patriotism high and D-Day a week away, who would notice that this voluntary un-apportioned direct tax had been repealed? The clauses of the Constitution regarding direct taxation are still law. The 16th Amendment gave Congress "no new powers of taxation" (Stanton vs. Baltic Mining Co., 240 U.S. 103). The incomes...without apportionment..." in the 16th Amendment places it in the category of an indirect (excise) tax (Brushaber vs. Union Pacific RR Co., 240 U.S. 1). Simply stated, it is a profits tax..

How would the government operate without personal income tax revenues? With imposts, duties, and excises (Article I, Section 8, Clause I). Can you see why NAFTA and GATT is promoted? The **1984 Grace Commission**, Report to the President, pg. 4 reveals where personal income taxes go: *"100% of what is collected is absorbed solely by interest on the Federal Debt and by Federal transfer payments. In other words, all individual income tax revenues are gone before one nickel is spent on the services taxpayers expect from their government."*

Can you begin to see why there have been over 200 tax increases since 1933?

Today, **three quarters** of the Federal budget is unconstitutional, according to **Article 1, Section 8, Clauses 2-18**. Why do our elected representatives allow this?

Remember, the third item on Col. House's agenda was to control both political parties of the U.S.

"Tragedy and Hope, A History of the World in Our Time" (by Carroll Quigley, 1966, The MacMillan Co., N.Y.; available from Angriff Press, Box 2726, Hollywood, California 90028).

It was intended as a text for aspiring globalists, and gives a 1300 page account of the history, mechanisms, and goals through which a ruling financial dynasty would control a global economy. On page 52, the author writes that the international bankers were: *"...devoted to secrecy and the secret use of financial influence in political life."*

There are two organizations formed by and intimately associated with the international bankers:

- **The Council on Foreign Relations**
- **The Tri Lateral Commission**

Let's examine briefly their background and their stated goals.

Because America refused to join the **League of Nations** following WWI, representatives from the American financial dynasties met with their British counterparts in Paris on May 19, 1919.

The American contingent was led by **Col. House** and became known as **the Council on Foreign Relations** (CFR). It was founded on July 29, 1921, backed by Rockefeller and Carnegie Foundation money.

It included many of the same people who established the Federal Reserve. Its quarterly journal, *Foreign Affairs*, has called for world government as far back as December, 1922.

CFR member **James Warburg** (whose father Paul Warburg merged his Manhattan Bank with Rockefeller's Chase Bank), a former aide to FDR, told the Senate Foreign Relations Committee this on Feb. 17, 1950: *"You shall have world government, whether or not you like it, by conquest or consent."*

On Nov. 25th, 1959, the CFR published Study NO.7, which spells out their goal: *"...building a new international order (which) must be responsive to world aspirations for peace (and) for social and economic change... an international order...including states labeling themselves as Socialist."*

In the 50th anniversary issue of *"Foreign Affairs"*, a leading article was written by CFR member Kingman Brewster, Jr., entitled *"Reflections on Our National Purpose"*. In this article, he stated that our national purpose should be to abolish our nationality. In a 1974 article in *"Foreign Affairs"*, entitled *"The Hard*

Road to World Order", CFR member **Richard Gardner** wrote that the house of world order would have to be built through: *"...an end run around our national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece."*

As of June 1992, the CFR has 2905 members in the U.S. (1992 Annual Report, the Council on Foreign Relations, the Harold Pratt House, 58 E 68th St., N.Y., N.Y.10022

The second organization, The Tri Lateral Commission, was formed in 1973 by David Rockefeller (grandson of John D. Rockefeller). David Rockefeller has also been a director of the CFR since 1949. The Tri Lat was the brainchild of Columbia University professor Zbigriew Bzrezinski, who, in his book "Between Two Ages", advocated an economic alliance among the western industrialized nations.

Rockefeller hired Bzrezinski, made him the executive director, and established a tri-lateral alliance encompassing Japan, North America, and western Europe. Its first meeting was held in Tokyo Oct. 21, 1973.

In Bzrezinski's book, the author states: on page 198 – *"America is in obsolescence"*; on **page 260** - he advocates **central (Marxist) planning**; on **page 296** - he wants to develop **limitations on sovereignty**; on **page 308** of his 309 page book, he finally **states his goal: a world government.**

Henry Kissinger is one of the most powerful and influential members of the Tri Lat (also CFR). He was interviewed by columnist Paul Scott, who said that it is Kissinger's belief that by controlling energy, especially oil, one can control nations and their financial system .

By placing food, oil, and the world's monetary system under international control, Kissinger is convinced that a world government can become a reality. As of April, 1992, the Tri Lateral Commission had 320 members divided up among Japan, North America, and Western Europe. (The Tri Lateral Commission, 345 E. 46th St., N.Y., N.Y. 10017)

The Tri Lat's goal is a world government, the same as that of the CFR. The first 35 members of the Tri Lat were also members of the CFR. They both have as members some of the most elite names in finance, industry, media, labor, academic circles, and government. Their rosters read like a who's who in these areas.

What's the difference between the CFR and the Tri Lat?

The CFR has been around since 1921, the Tri Lat, since 1973. The only difference is the fact that the Tri Lat is an elite group, who's been chosen to speed up the process of a one-world government through the control of food, energy, and an international monetary system.

Keep in mind that economic union always precedes political union.

Let's examine the CFR and Tri Lat influence in government.

CFR control in government actually began in earnest in 1939 by establishing within the U.S. State Department a "Committee on Post-War Problems", the group (staffed and funded by the CFR) which designed the United Nations. (the story of which is contained in State Dept. Publication 2349- *"Report To The President On The Results of the San Francisco Conference"*).

Since WWII, the CFR has filled key positions in virtually every administration since then. Furthermore, since Eisenhower, every man who has won the nomination for either party (except Goldwater in 1964 and Reagan in 1980) has been a member of the CFR:

Democrats

John W. Davis(1924)	Adlai Stevenson (1952,56)	John F. Kennedy (1966)
Hubert Humphrey (1968)	George McGovern (1972)	Jimmy Carter (1976,80)
Walter Mondale (1984)	Michael Dukakis (1988)	Bill Clinton (1992)

Republicans

Herbert Hoover (1928,32)	Wendell Wilkie (1940)	Thomas Dewey (1944,48)
Dwight Eisenhower (1952,56)	Richard Nixon (1960,68,72)	Gerald Ford (1976)
George Bush (1988,92) (who was also a director of the CFR 1977-1979)		

An important point must be stressed: By controlling the candidates we vote for, the CFR is assured their goal of world government. Why? Because CFR presidents fill key positions with CFR members by APPOINTMENT. (List of 110 Nixon appts. can be found in "**None Dare Call it Conspiracy**, by Gary Allen, 1972; available from General Birch Services, P.O. Box 8040, Appleton, Wis. 54913)

Since 1973, Tri Lat members have also filled key positions. One of the first members of the Tri Lat was Jimmy Carter, whose administration had 19 Tri Lateralists including:

Vice President Mondale
 Secretary of State Vance
 Secretary of the Treasury Blumenthal
 Secretary of Defense Brown
 National Security Advisor Bzrezinski

These five positions made up the National Security Council, and were held by members of an organization which advocates world government – was their oath of office to uphold the Constitution a mere formality? Others included:

- 1) nearly all of the undersecretaries of State;
- 2) nearly all of the undersecretaries of Treasurer;
- 3) the Panama Canal Treaty negotiator;
- 4) the Salt Treaty negotiator;
- 5) the Ambassador to the U.N. Andrew Young; and
- 6) the Ambassador to Italy, Richard Gardner (remember, the Israel Moses Sief Banks of Italy are top stockholders in the Fed, and Gardner advocated an "...end run around our national Sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece.")

An article about Bzrezinski (Carter's National Security Advisor, executive director of the Tri Lat, and author of "Between Two Ages") and Carter appeared in the March ~1. 1978 New York Times: *"The two men met for the first time about four years ago, when Mr. Bzrezinski was the executive director of the Tri Lateral Commission, and had the foresight to ask the then obscure governor of Georgia to join its ranks. Their initial teacher-student relationship blossomed during the campaign and appears to have grown closer still."*

Bzrezinski(teacher)praises Marxism, thinks the U.S. is in obsolescence, and advocates a world government; the student was the President of the United States!

Another article, written by CFR past president Winston Lord, appeared in the August 4-11, 1978 issue of "W" magazine. He boasted: *"The Tri Lateral Commission doesn't secretly run the world, the Council of Foreign Relations does that."*

Winston Lord (**Bones**) is currently the Assistant Secretary for East Asian/Pacific Affairs (State Dept.) and special envoy to Red China.

Contrary to candidate Clinton's "stand" on human rights, Red China has recently received an unconditional MFN trade status with the U.S.; never mind that over 10,000 Chinese dissidents have been murdered since Tianemen Square.

The CFR domination of just the last three Administrations reveals:

347 under Reagan;

382 under Bush, and

387 under Clinton (over 1 in 8 of their total membership)

The following CFR members have been associated with our current Administration:

President William J. Clinton	Vice President Al Gore	OMB Alice M. Rivlin
former Secretary of State Warren Christopher	Deputy Secretary of State Clifton R. Wharton	
CIA Director R. James Woolsey	National Security Advisor W. Anthony Lake	
Deputy National Security Advisor Samuel R. Berger	Secretary of Defense Les Aspen	
Chrmn., Intel. Adv. Bd. William J. Crowe	Sec. H.& H.S. Donna E. Shalala	
Secretary of State and former U.N. Ambassador Madeleine Albright		
Secretary H.U.D. Henry G. Cisneros	Chrmn., Council Ec. Advisors Laura D. Tyson	
Secretary of the Treasury Lloyd M. Bentsen	Secretary of the Interior Bruce Babbitt	
	Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsberg	

There are 370 others as well.

Have you ever wondered why, since WWII, U.S. foreign policy has allowed Communist expansion? Consider the roles of the following CFR members since WWII:

George Marshall and **Dean Acheson** engineered the betrayal of Chiang Kai-shek, allowing communist takeover of China;

Dean Acheson and **Dean Rusk** arranged the no-win undeclared war in Korea and the removal of General McArthur;

John Foster Dulles and **Allen Dulles**, under CFR President Eisenhower betrayed Hungarian freedom fighters and knowingly brought Fidel Castro to power in Cuba;

McGeorge Bundy, **Adlai Stevenson**, and **John J. McCloy** saw to it that the Bay of Pigs invasion to oust Castro failed;

Dean Rusk, **Robert McNamara**, and **Henry Cabot Lodge** pushed the U.S. into Vietnam - and then drew up the rules making victory impossible;

Richard Nixon and **Henry Kissinger** continued these policies, which led to communist takeover of South Vietnam, Cambodia, and Laos;

Henry Kissinger, **Ellsworth Bunker**, and **Sol Linowitz** arranged for the Panama Canal giveaway - and gave \$400 million dollars to that Marxist dictatorship to take it;

Under leadership of **Zbigniew Brzezinski**, **Cyrus Vance**, and **Warren Christopher**, the Carter Administration undermined U.S. allies in Iran and Nicaragua;

Under **Reagan**, **George Schultz**, **William J. Casey**, and **Malcom Baldrige** arranged U.S. foreign aid to communist Romania, communist Poland, and the U.S.S.R.; they also impeded anti-Communists in El Salvador and Nicaragua.

Why? It is easier to control oppressive Marxist regimes than nations of free men - in a one-world socialist government.

Yes, Col. House's agenda of controlling both political parties in the U.S. has been achieved, through, as Madison warned, "silent and gradual encroachment." An excellent history of the CFR is found in "**The Shadows of Power**" (James Perloff, 1988, Western Island Publishers, P.O. Box 8040, Appleton, Wis. 54913, 1-414-749-3783).

Just as Col. House led an American contingent to Paris in 1919, there are also powerful like-minded organizations in Europe, through financial control, promoting a one-world government.

The most prominent of these is the Bilderbergers (est. in 1954), and is largely responsible for the unification of Europe through the Treaty of Rome (1957).

Regardless of where these groups are from, their goal is a world government, and the U.N.. which they established, is the platform from which it will be launched.

"**Global Tyranny...Step by Step**"(by William Jasper, 1992, Western Islands Publishers, P.O. Box 8040, Appleton, Wis. 54913) gives a well-documented examination of the past, present, and future role of the United Nations in the emerging New World Order. The titles of a few of its chapters:

Chapter 1 **The New World Army**

Chapter 3 **The U.N. Founders**

Chapter 5 **The Drive for World Government**

Chapter 8 **The U.N. Grab for Your Child**

Chapter 10 **The New World Money System**

The author also presents ways we can reverse this encroachment against our liberty and freedom.

The Rockefellers play a key role in America's financial and political life. Currently, David Rockefeller is the honorary chairman of both the CFR and the Tri Lateral Commission, as well as (through his Chase Manhattan Bank) a top stockholder in the Federal Reserve.

The Rockefeller influence goes back to 1890, when John D. Rockefeller was refining nearly 90% of all crude oil in the country, and frequently worked in concert with Wall Street banker J.P. Morgan. By the turn of the century, John D. purchased the Chase Bank and brother William bought the National City Bank of New York, and in 1913, became part of the Federal Reserve System.

The Rockefeller Foundation was also in full operation by that time, effectively nullifying the "tax on the wealthy" as the proponents of 16th Amendment had sought.

According to the Sept. 16, 1916 New York Times, the Rockefeller oil holdings alone were worth \$500 million. By 1930, the 200 largest corporations, under Rockefeller and Morgan influence, held over 49% of the assets of all 40,000 corporations in the country.

AT&T (controlled by Morgan) had greater assets than the total wealth in 21 states.

The influence of the Rockefeller and Morgan groups was so great, they could affect the economic life of the country to a large degree and almost control its political life on the Federal level.

The Rockefellers increased their leverage over the economy throughout the Depression years:

- 1) many of their competitors were hard-hit by the Stock Market Crash;
- 2) and being a part of the Fed, the Rockefellers benefited from massive government borrowing;
- 3) which, in turn, furthered their control of industry, soon to be mobilized for war production.

America's entry into WWII enhanced all of the above, and their wealth continued to be funneled into the tax-exempt foundations.

Following the War, the U.S. was in such bad economic condition, that in 1950, it declared bankruptcy a second time and the Secretary of Treasury was appointed as "Receiver" in the Bankruptcy (Reorganization Plan No. 26, Title 5 U.S.C.A 903, Public Law 94-564, Legislative History, page 5967).

In 1953, Congressman Carroll Reese of Tennessee initiated a Congressional Committee to investigate the tremendous influence of the huge tax-exempt foundations and their control over the economy.

Yet, even Congress had little influence in diminishing the tremendous influence and control the international bankers exercised in the economy. The Rockefeller's wealth continued to expand - their foundations allows their wealth to compound.

Each year the Rockefellers can dump up to half of their income into their foundations and deduct these donations from their income tax. **Nelson Rockefeller** admitted at his confirmation hearings (for V.P.): *"The foundation pays no capital gains tax and no income tax, so those funds can continue to multiply."*

And indeed they have. The following information on the Rockefeller's more current wealth is derived from Congressman Patman's Report in the Congressional Record. What I am about to give you is direct ownership of stock; pay particular attention to the oil companies.

In direct stock, they own: Exxon - 156.7 million; Rockefeller Center – 98 million; Standard of California - 85 million; IBM - 72.6 million; Chase Manhattan Bank - 18 million. Others that they have 10 million or more in are: Mobil Oil, Eastman Kodak, General Electric, Texas Instruments, Minnesota Mining & Mfg., and they own significant portions of about 50 other major American companies.

A look at their security holdings reveals: They control Chase-Manhattan Bank, City National Bank of New York, and Chemical Bank, among others; these are in the top of the list.

The Chase Manhattan Bank deals in many foreign countries as well as corporations: well over 100 countries with about 50,000 affiliated banks.

A look at the interlocking boards of directors in the insurance industry, at the time this data was compiled, the Rockefellers controlled 3 of the 4 largest insurance companies in the world, that being Metropolitan Life, Equitable Life, and New York Life.

The assets of these three at that time was \$113 billion. They control others as well.

Just to summarize the banks and insurance companies, this amounts to 25% of all the assets of the 50 largest banks, and 30% of all the assets of the 50 largest life insurance companies. This gives them leverage over the economy that goes far beyond direct ownership.

Congressman Patman also found that if you control 5% or more of the stock in a corporation, whose stock is widely held, you have in effect, minority control. If we take those corporations that the Rockefeller family has 10% control, or 5%, and at least two members of their board of directors, we have the following:

Exxon, Mobil Oil, Standard of California, Standard of Indiana, International Harvester, Inland Steel, Marathon Oil, Quaker Oats, Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel, Freeport Sulphur, and International Basic Economy Corporation.

Corporations which are probably under Rockefeller influence, and I say probably, because it's extremely difficult to trace stock ownership through trusts and foundations, are these:

Texaco, IT&T, Westinghouse, Boeing, International Paper, Sperry Rand, Xerox, National Cash Register, National Steel, American Home Products, Pfizer, Avon, and Merck.

Merck bought Medco Containment, the nation's largest marketer of discount prescription medicines. The acquisition makes Merck, by far, the biggest integrated producer and distributor of pharmaceuticals in the country. (**Wall Street Journal, 7-29-93**).

Transportation companies under the Rockefeller influence include Penn Central, TWA, Eastern Airlines, United Airlines, National Airlines, Delta, Braniff, and Consolidated Freightways.

Others they control through interlocking boards of directors are: Allied Chemical, Anaconda Copper, DuPont, Monsanto, Olin-Mathison, Borden, National Distillers, Shell, Gulf, Union Oil, Dow, Celanese, Pittsburgh Plate Glass, Cities Service, Stauffer Chemical, Continental Oil, Union Carbide, American Cyanamid, American Motors, Bendix, and Chrysler. Isn't that odd?

Who's the biggest stock holders in Chrysler and you had to bail them out? Other's are CIT Financial, SS Kresge and RH Macy.

To summarize, that's 37 of the top 100 industrials, 9 of the top 20 transportation firms, the nation's No. 1 utility, three of the top four insurance companies, plus scores of smaller companies engaged in manufacturing, distribution, retail sales, loans or investments. These are just the known figures.

So can you start to see the economic power the Rockefeller family. Now, in addition to this, recognize the power they have through the membership in the Tri Lateral Commission and the CFR through other heads of multi national corporations.

There were published several reports under the Senate Investigating Subcommittee on Reports, Accounting, and Management." They're under the "Committee on Governmental Affairs, United States Senate, January 1978."

The first report is entitled: ***"Interlocking Boards of Directors Among Major U.S. Corporations"***.

This Senate study looked at the top 130 corporations and placed every name of every board of directors into a computer and found this: 70% of the top 130 corporations are interlocked directly or indirectly with the lower companies. They even found many competing companies and corporations have the same board of directors and several members of the same board of directors, which is illegal according to Section 8 of the Clayton Act.

When this point is reached, you don't have competition anymore, you have price-fixing. Some of these people were sitting on 16 difference boards of directors! In the April 1993 IBM proxy statement, this can be demonstrated. Also, 8 of these 18 directors are CFR. (IBM Notice of 1993 Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement; IBM, Armonk, N.Y. 10504)

The second report the same committee did was called "Voting Rights-Major U.S. Corporations". They looked at 122 corporations and found this: One corporation, Morgan Guaranty and Trust, was in the top five vote-holders of 57 of those corporations; another, City National Bank of New York, was in the top five voteholders of 36 of those.

That's 93 of the top 122 corporations. Now, who was the top vote-holder in the parent company of Morgan Guaranty and Trust? Number one was City National Bank of New York, and number two was the Chase Manhattan Bank, which means that the Rockefellers controlled Morgan Guaranty and Trust and also the City National Bank of New York.

The Rockefellers are in the top five voteholders in 93 of those 122 corporations. This is what the Subcommittee found in 1978.

Why didn't we hear about it? At that time, half of the members of the Senate Subcommittee over this study were CFR/Tri Lat.

There shouldn't be much doubt who controls the oil here. But what about the Middle East oil? Now, supposedly, OPEC has nationalized, in most cases, the oil fields over there. Aramco, an oil consortium of Exxon, Mobil, Texaco, and SOCAL got 42% on every petrodollar and OPEC 58% during the late 70's "energy crisis ". Do you think it bothered them that the price of gas doubled while the media was telling us there was an oil shortage?

The Tri Lat "engineered" the crisis. Its planning publication is called the "Triangle Papers". On page 73 of Publication No. 17, entitled "Energy: Managing the Transition (1978), we find this: *"We therefore urge each Tri Lateral government to review its tax structure and develop specific energy taxes. An obvious example here is a higher federal excise tax on gasoline in the United States."*

In other words, they created the crisis, and we paid for it at the pumps through higher prices and higher taxes. Who got blamed? OPEC.

The same people who control the oil here also exercise control in the Middle East. According to the 1974 report of the Senate Foreign Relations Subcommittee on Multinational Corporations, the Aramco consortium attempted to block America's emergency airlift to Israel, during the Yom Kippur War of 1973.

These companies cooperated closely with Saudi Arabia to deny oil and fuel to the U.S. Navy. In the 1930's, it was Standard Oil (Rockefeller) money that established the oil industry over there - Makes one wonder about Desert Storm.

Remember, it is Kissinger's belief that a world government can be brought about by controlling an international monetary system, oil/energy, and food. Consider how far the control of food has gotten:

In the seventies, Senator Gannon ran a study in five counties of Kansas. He found that 85,000 acres over the 8,000 acres legal limit were illegally owned by large multinational corporations.

The American Agriculture paper also reported that six coal companies, who were in turn controlled by the oil companies, owned somewhere in the neighborhood of 80% of the farm land in Illinois. These are just a few examples.

Remember, in 1973, the Tri Lateral Commission was formed, and in 1973 the large multinational corporations started diversifying. Into what? Food.

Through interlocking directors, at the time of this study, Gulf was interlocked with General Foods and Ralston Purina; Mobil Oil with General Foods and H.J. Heinz; Standard of California with American Potato, Basic Vegetable Products, and Broadway Hale Stores; Atlantic Richfield Co. with Lincoln County Livestock, and Diamond A Cattle; Philips Petroleum with Campbell Soup; Citgo with Kraft and Campbell Soup; Getty Oil with A&P and Carnation; Standard Oil Of Ohio with National Biscuit; Hesslup with Borden; Safeway is controlled by the Chase Manhattan Bank; Tenneco controlled. at the time Of this report, approximately one and a half million acres of land, gas, oil, and chemicals; IT&T is interlocked with Wonderbread!

Case (farm implements) and International Harvester are controlled by the Rockefellers and John Deere's president, at that time, a member of the Tri Lateral Commission.

Who was the biggest beef producer through feedlots and fatteners? Greyhound bus. Who was the biggest pork producer in the world? Arnior, owned by Greyhound bus. One Of the largest cattle feeders by volume in the U.S. as well as one Of the largest grain companies is Cargill, (run by Whitney McMillan, Tri Lat member), and they bought Missouri Beef, one Of the largest beef packing plants.

Did the "collapse" of the U.S.S.R. tie into the New World Order?

The plans to bring the Soviet Union into a one-world government goes back to 1907 (see "**Tragedy and Hope, A History Of the World in Our Time**", by Carroll Quigley, 1966; The McMillan CO., N.Y., available Angriff Press, P.O. Box 2726, Hollywood, California 90028).

The very same people who established the Federal Reserve Act, the graduated income tax, and the CFR also bankrolled the Bolshevik Revolution. This was a well-known fact in intelligence circles at that time.

In 1953, CFR member **H. Rowan Gaither** (Chairman of Ford Foundation) made a statement to Norman Dodd (chief investigator for the special Congressional Committee to Investigate Tax-Exempt Foundations): *"We shall use our grant-making power to SO alter life in the United States that we can be comfortably inerged with the Soviet Union."*

Consider the following excerpts from the Washington Post Weekly (May 4, 1992): *"The IMF, the World Bank, and the major industrial nations have decided to take a risk with Russia and former Soviet Republics."*

..When then Russian Deputy Prime Minister Yegor Gaidar swept through Washington, he reassured business leaders, as well as finance ministers and central bankers from the Group Of Seven major industrial nations, that . . . *"In an effort to get the banking system under control, Gerald Corrigan, the President Of the New York Federal Reserve Bank (CFR/Tri Lat), has been visiting Moscow regularly since September (1991) to advise the Central bank and commercial banks. An acquaintance Of former Fed Chairman Paul A. Volcker (CFR/Tri Lat), Says Volcker is close to an agreement that would make him consultant to Russian President Boris Yeltzin."*

"In addition, David Rockefeller (CFR/Tri Lat), a former Chairman Of the Chase Manhattan Bank, says he has agreed to be part Of a group Corrigan is assembling that might help establish banking business in Russia."

"Once the IMF program is in place, the World Bank will supply direct support to the agriculture and oil sectors Of the Russian economy."

"The oil Sector is enough to solve any financial Crisis they (the Russians) might have", says World Bank President Lewis Preston (CFR & formerly with Morgan Guaranty). He says there are 33 undeveloped fields in W. Siberia."

Isn't that interesting? The World Bank and the IMF are "supporting" the agriculture and oil sectors thereby controlling the food and energy. It would be more accurate to say that the CFR/Tri Lat are controlling the agriculture and oil sectors.

Furthermore, the CFR/Tri Lat involvement in Russia's central bank has recently (July 26, 1993) mandated a call in of all pre-1993 rubles, crippling the ability of the Russian citizen to provide for his family.

Not only does the U.S. taxpayer underwrite about 20% of the IMF and World Bank funding, but the afore-mentioned "G-7" central bankers are also stockholders in our Federal Reserve.

Yeltzin is working closely with the CFR/Tri Lat - what about Gorbachev? I have a transcript of **Gorbachev's May 6, 1992** speech given at Westminster College. On page 9 he states: *"However, even now, at a time of sharply increased interdependence in the world, many countries are morbidly jealous of their sovereignty, many peoples of their national independence and identity - This is one of the newest global contradictions, one which must be overcome by joint effort."* (Speech May 6, 1992, Westminster College, "The River of Time and the Imperative of Action" pg 9, by Mikhail Sergeevich Gorbachev.)

President Clinton once promised Yeltzin \$1.6 billion in direct assistance, in addition to the billions promised by the G-7; Yet most Americans have no idea as to how long and how much Western aid and trade has gone into the USSR since 1917.

- 1) After the Bolshevik Revolution, Standard Oil of New Jersey (Rockefeller) bought 50% of Nobel's huge Caucasus oil fields;
- 2) In 1927, Standard Oil of New York (Rockefeller) built a refinery in Russia;
- 3) In 1928, Rockefeller's Chase Bank was involved in selling Bolshevik Bonds in the U.S. - patriotic organizations denounced Chase as an "international fence".

In the Congressional Record of June 15, 1933, **Congressman Louis McFadden** said: *"The Soviet government has been given U.S. Treasury funds by the Federal Reserve Banks acting through the Chase Bank and Morgan Guaranty Trust Co. and other banks in New York City...Open up the books of Amtorg, the trading organization of the Soviet government in New York, and of Gostorg, the general office of the Soviet Trade Organization, and the State Bank of the U.S.S.R. and you will be staggered to see how much American money has been taken from the U.S. Treasury for the benefit of Russia. Find out what business has been transacted for the State Bank of Soviet Russia by its correspondent, the Chase Bank of New York"*.

I might add that Congressman McFadden later died under "mysterious circumstances".

The following information is derived from Antony Sutton's comprehensive book "Best Enemy Money Can Buy", (by Antony Sutton, 1986, Liberty House Press, 2027 Iris Ln., Billings, Montana 59102) Sutton is acknowledged as the foremost expert on western technology and Soviet economic development.

He was a research fellow at the Hoover Institute On War & Peace; and therefore had access to information most researchers outside establishment circles were denied. Revealing this information cost him his job. Sutton documents that:

- 1) Ford set up the Gorki vehicle plant in 1929, upgraded by the Lend-Lease programs of WWII; vehicles produced in this plant were used against U.S. troops in Korea and Vietnam.
- 2) The Soviet tank industry began in 1929 under the guise of producing tread-type tractors; The Stalingrad plant was entirely constructed in the U.S., dismantled, and re-erected in the U.S.S.R. by American engineers. This was just one of several which utilized American machine tools and equipment.
- 3) In 1946, the Soviets bought 55 Rolls Royce turbo-jet engines from Great Britain, and reproduced them in quantity on American and German machine tools. These engines powered the MiG-15 fighters, used against our F-86 Sabre jets in Korea.
- 4) 37 of the 96 Soviet ships regularly employed on the "Haiphong Run" during the Vietnam War (keeping North Vietnam supplied) used diesel engines of western origin.

(The missile-carrying Soviet ships of the 1962 Cuban Missile Crisis were propelled by engines produced in Denmark - a NATO ally!)

- 5) From 1960 until 1972, the Soviets sought acquisition of a specialized machine tool manufactured by the Bryant Chucking Company of Springfield, Vermont.

This machine, the "Centalign B", produced high-precision miniature ball bearings, supplying 85% of its output to the U.S. defense industry for guidance systems in our missiles.

Under President Nixon and National Security Advisor Henry Kissinger, approval was given in 1972 to export 164 of these machines to the U.S.S.R.

By 1974, the Soviets had MIRV'd their ICBMs. This was made public on March 8, 1983 by Secretary of Defense Casper Weinberger, stating that the greatly improved accuracy of Soviet missiles was derived from U.S. technology.

- 6) In the 70's, the Kama River truck plant was built in the Soviet Union. It covers 36 square miles and can produce 100,000 multi-axle 10-ton trucks/year. 75% of the equipment and technology is from the U.S.; 25% is Italian and European, including European subsidiaries of U.S. companies.

American taxpayers underwrote the Kama River financing through the Export/Import Bank. Chase Manhattan (Rockefeller was the lead financier. It was built by the Pullman - Swindell Co. (a subsidiary of M.W. Kellogg Co.) of Pittsburgh.

- 7) The Volgograd automobile plant, built 1968-1971, has a capacity of 600,000 vehicles/year. Three-fourths of the equipment were U.S. manufacture, including key transfer lines and automated tools. The construction contract was awarded to Fiat S.P.A., a firm closely associated with the Chase Manhattan Bank.
- 8) The 647 mile highway which connects the U.S.S.R. with Kabul, Afghanistan was under construction in 1966, built with Soviet money and U.S. foreign aid money. A 67 mile stretch of road through the Salang Pass cost \$42 million, prompting a U.S. engineer to say it was the costliest section of road he had ever seen.

This highway felt the rumble of Soviet tanks, heavy trucks, and military vehicles (many produced with American equipment and money) in December, 1979 when the Soviets invaded Afghanistan. The results – over one million casualties.

Sutton's book is extremely well-documented.

Richard F. Starr, a former U.S. Ambassador, has said that the former Soviet Union has stored at least 362 million metric tons of grain (much of it from the West), in fallout shelters. These supplies, according to University of N. Carolina economist Steven Rosefield, could feed the entire population of the former U.S.S.R. for 3-4 years. In addition, there are reserves in 87 cities throughout the U.S.S.R. giving them total reserves in excess of 5 years. By contrast, the U.S. has 30-45 days of reserves. These Soviet reserves, given to them by the U.S. through credits with deferred payments, are being paid for by the U.S. taxpayer.

Senator Malcom Wallop (R-Wy.) pointed out that Soviet indebtedness is estimated to be at least \$100 billion and that Western loans to Soviet banks located in the West are not included in that figure; 80% of these loans are "untied", not restricted to any program or project. As of 1990, \$700 million a month were being loaned to the Soviet government.

In the meantime, the U.S. has spent billions in defense budgets to protect us from those who are recipients of not only loans and credits, but our technology as well!

("Best Enemy Money Can Buy" contains Appendix F: "U.S. firms trading with the Soviet Union in the 1960-1986 period", pages 231-235).

David Funderburk, a former Ambassador to Romania under the Reagan Administration, stepped down from his post in protest of U.S. policy toward Communist Romania and Eastern Europe. In his book, "Betrayal of America", he documents the collaboration between the leadership elite of the State Department and the Communist countries: *...we're propping up Communist regimes which are bankrupt and would fall without our aid...aid from the U.S. government, from big business, and from big banks in America...* (**Betrayal of America** by David B. Funderburk, former U.S. Ambassador to Romania, 1991, Dist. by Betrayal of America, P.O. Box 1124, Dur.n, N. Carolina 28334).

In his book, "Reminiscences", **John D. Rockefeller** informs us: *"One of our greatest helpers has been the State Department in Washington. Our ambassadors, and ministers, and consuls have aided to push our way into new markets to the utmost corners of the world."*

Is it any wonder? The CFR has dominated the State Department since WWII.

Why don't we hear about these things from the media? At the 1991 Bilderbergers' (European-based globalists) meeting in Baden Baden, Germany, the opening speaker was **David Rockefeller**. He said this: *"We are grateful to the Washington Post, the New York Times, Time magazine, and other publications whose directors have attended our meetings, and respected their promises of discretion for almost 40 years...It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during these years."*

Who was among the invited guests? Bill Clinton and Dan Quayle. Among the **communication corporations represented in the CFR/Tri Lat are these:**

CBS	NBC	ABC	PBS	CNN
Washington Post	New York Times Co.	Wall Street Journal	Newsweek	
	U.S. News and World Report	Time, Inc		
Associated Press and Reuters (both of which local papers rely upon)				

CFR members who are TV news personalities include:

Dan Rather	Tom Brokaw	David Brinkley	John Chancellor
Barbara Walters	Diane Sawyer	Robert McNeil	Jim Lehrer
Daniel Schorr			

David Brinkley (CFR), to a meeting of the American TV/Radio Broadcasters Association, said this of the American people: *"All they know about public policy is what we tell them."*

Sadly enough - true. Scripture warns us about ignorance:

Hosea 4:6: *"My people are destroyed from a lack of knowledge."*

Public ignorance and apathy are allies of the globalists, and only recently have we heard the brazen call for a New World Order. Yet this phrase is nothing new - It has been on the back of a one dollar bill since 1935. Under the pyramids the Latin phrase "Novus Ordo Seclorum", which means a "new secular order of the age;" in other words, a new world order without God.

The conspirators are confident of bringing in a one-world government, which includes plans to scrap our Constitution. They have been quietly acquiring the necessary 34 states' approval to call up a Constitution Convention (ConCon) in an effort to install a new constitution. ("New States of America" from "The Emerging Constitution", by Rexford G. Tugwell, 1974, Harper & Row). it is already written, and has been largely promoted by a group called the "Committee on a Constitutional System" (C.C.S). 19 of its 51-member board of directors are also members of the CFR.

C. Douglas Dill (CFR, former Sec. Treasury) said: *"Such a significant shift in our Constitution is unlikely to come about except as a result of a crisis that is very grave indeed."*

A financial crisis today would further their goals just as it did in 1929.

Will the new "deficit reduction bill" help the country or hasten a crisis? The majority of the bill's spending cuts will not take place until the President's term is over; in the meantime, over \$800 million interest each and every day will be added to the debt.

Will a balanced budget amendment (BBA) reign in spending? Every BBA proposal in Washington today would allow Congress to override any such amendment by a 60% vote. If Congress was sincere, a simple majority vote in the House (218) and the Senate (51) would balance the budget.

Remember, the country is still bankrupt; Furthermore, the Secretary of the Treasury is also the Governor of the International Monetary Fund (IMF). This is an alien/foreign financial institution (22 U.S.C.A. 286).

What does this mean? Look on the back of any returned check you mailed to the IRS for federal taxes since 1976: you will find that it has been endorsed by a Federal Reserve Bank.

Who owns the Fed? Your tax payment was allowed to go right out of the country! The definition of the national debt means all obligations owed by the Treasury Department to its creditors - i.e., the international bankers.

The real motive behind a balanced budget amendment is not to reign in spending, but to adopt a new Constitution. How close are they? They have 32 of the required 34. The American public got duped into the Federal Reserve Act and the 16th Amendment. Would it be any different now?

Once again - **Hosea 4:6**: *"My people are destroyed from a lack of knowledge."*

A number of things could precipitate a crisis, and like in 1929, could bring about a run on the banks. The President could invoke "**Emergency Banking Regulation No. 1**", which would restrict your right to withdraw on your bank account, and *"...prohibit withdrawals of cash in any case...that such withdrawal is sought for the purpose of hoarding."*

The following Executive Orders were combined by Richard Nixon into E.O. No. 11490, which activates all of the following under national emergency conditions:

EO 10995 provides for the control of the communications media

EO 10997 provides for the control of the power, fuels, and minerals

EO 10998 provides for the control of all food and farms

EO 10999 provides for the control of all transportation, highways, etc.

EO 11000 provides for the mobilization of all civilians into work brigades under government supervision

EO 11001 provides for control of all health, education, and welfare

EO 11002 designates the postmaster to operate a national registration of all persons

EO 11003 provides for control of all airports and aircraft

EO 11004 provides for relocation of any populations

EO 11005 provides for control of railroads, waterways, and public storage facilities

A one-world government would require a world law, a world court, and for enforcement, a world army. You can understand why our Constitution must be scrapped, because **Article 1, Section 8, clauses 13-16** gives Congress exclusive power over our armed forces. The sovereignty of our nation depends upon its ability to defend itself. Period.

What provisions would place our armed forces under a one-world government?

In **Volume 9, of the 25 Volume set of the 1982 United States Code**, on pages **554-558**, you will find **Public Law 87-297**, which calls for the **disarmament of the U.S.** This has been in force since 1961.

This is further explained in "The Blueprint for Peace Race" as "Publication No. 4, General Series 3" published by the U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency, May, 1962. An abridged version of this is State Department Document 7277. (Department of State, Disarmament Series 5, released September 1961, Office of Public Services, Bureau of Public Affairs, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.)

The armed forces of this country are to be, in three stages, placed under the U.N. Undersecretary for Political and Security Council Affairs. This position has always overseen all U.N. military operations since 1946 - it has also been held by a Soviet this entire time (except 1954-1957, held by a communist from Yugoslavia). At the present, our troops in Somalia serve under Turkish General Bir, who answers to Russian U.N. Undersecretary Vladimir Petrovsky. The American public is being warmed up to the idea of U.S. troops serving a foreign flag, under the auspices of "humanitarian assistance."

Furthermore, the disarmament plan has provisions to permanently station foreign U.N. troops in our closed military bases, for "training". Of course, the media will tell us how much this will help the local economies.

At the end of stage 1 (of 3 stages), one half of our armed forces are to be placed under U.N. control, and all citizen-owned guns banned, hence the myth that gun control is crime control. What they don't say is that, under a world government, all populations must be disarmed. In this country, names would be collected from registration forms required by the 1968 Gun Control Act (which is patterned from the 1938 Nazi "Law on Weapons").

Why would the military leadership of this country, sworn to defend it, allow the armed forces to be placed under a U.N. flag?

CFR members, Defense Department

Sec. Defense Les Aspin Asst. Sec. Internat'l Security Affairs Henry S. Rowen

Deputy Asst. Sec. Nuclear Fcs./Arms Control Frank C. Miller

Deputy Asst. Sec. Europe and NATO W. Bruce Weinrod

Chmn. Defense Policy Bd. Adm. Seymour Weiss Sec. Army Michael P.W. Stone

Sec. Air Force Donald B. Rice CFR members, Joint Chiefs of Staff

Chairman Gen. Colin Powell Army Gen. Carl E. Vuono CO Pac AF Gen. Merrill A. McPeak

Dir. Strat. Plans/Policy Lt. Gen. George L. Butler Com., Air Univ Lt. Gen. Charles T. Boyd

AF Inspector Gen. Lt. Gen. Bradley C. Hosmer Undersec. for Policy Frank G. Wisner II

CFR members, past Sec. of Defense CFR members, past Allied Supreme Commanders

1957-59 McElroy 1949-52 Eisenhower 1959-61 Gates 1952-53 Ridgeway

1961-63 McNamara 1953-56 Gruenther 1969-73 Laird 1956-63 Norstad

1973 Richardson 1963-69 Lemnitzer 1975-77 Rumsfeld 1969-74 Goodpaster

1977-81 Brown 1974-79 Haig 1981-87 Weinberger 1979-87 Rogers

1987-89 Carlucci 1989~ 93 Cheney 1993- Aspin

There are, however, many in our armed forces who are patriotic to this nation. Pray for them.

As **James Madison** warned, there has been a: *"silent and gradual encroachment of those in power"*.

We are on the verge of "global tyranny", as Jasper so aptly states.

We are a Constitutional Republic, steeped in Christian heritage and guaranteed certain God-given inalienable rights. I will repeat this again: we have God-given rights - we are accountable to Him for everything, including our liberty and freedom.

Our rights carry responsibilities. To dismiss those responsibilities is a sin of omission, no different than a sin of commission - God detests both.

Proverbs13:22 says: *"A good man leaves an inheritance to his children's children."*

Over 957,000 patriotic Americans have given their lives in defense of our country. Their legacy is the freedom and liberty we now have. We are their posterity. Don't let their sacrifice become in vain.

Although we are not on the battlefields of France, or an atoll in the Pacific, or a hill in Korea, or a jungle in Vietnam, or even a desert in Saudi Arabia, we are indeed engaged in a battle.

That battlefield is in America. A war is being waged against God, country, and family. Why? We are not only the last bastion of freedom, but 85% of the Great Commission (Mt. 28:19, 20) emanates from America.

What can we do?

- 1) Invite the Lord into your life. Greater is He who is in you than he who is in the world (I John 4:4);
- 2) Become informed, not misinformed. You know whose camp the major media is in - and it's not in yours. The best publication I know of for becoming informed is "The New American" magazine, a bi-weekly publication written by people who embrace the same ideals as our Founders (P.O. Box 8040, Appleton, Wisconsin 54913, 1-800-341-1522),
- 3) Pray for your elected representative - many have forgotten what "representative" means. Voice your concerns to them - but do so from a perspective of knowledge and insight. There are many groups who address the effects - but have no insight as to the cause.
- 4) Are you one of those people who say, "I don't know where the money goes - it costs twice as much to live beyond my means as it use to"?

Romans 13:8 says:

"Let no debt remain outstanding, except the continuing debt to love one another.

If you live by the world system, you will be subjugated by the same.

Alexis De Tocqueville, in 1840, made the following observation after his visit in America:

"I sought for the key to the greatness and genius of America in her harbors...; in her fertile fields and boundless forests; in her rich mines and vast world commerce; in her public school system and institutions of higher learning. I sought for it in her democratic Congress and in her matchless Constitution.

Not until I went into the churches of America and heard her pulpits flame with righteousness did I understand the secret of her genius and power.

America is great because America is good, and if America ever ceases to be good, America will cease to be great."

What is the alternative?

A New World Order, which will make us, as Paul warns, "slaves of men".

"In Germany they came first for the communists, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a communist. Then they came for the Jews and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a Jew. Then they came for the trade unionists but I didn't speak up because I was not a trade unionist. Then they came for the Catholics and I didn't speak up because I am a Protestant. Then they came for me but by that time no one was left to speak up."

Rev. M Niemoller (1892-1984) Dachau 1945

The Commitment . . . *Why we do it!*

We leave our regular lives, abandon our families, jobs and leisure time. Some spend hours hunched in front of a computer screen tapping away for papers and websites. Others trudge off with signs and placards. Maybe its some unknown researcher at a think tank, or a talk-radio host in some town you never heard of. Perhaps a housewife taking a minute to write a letter to the editor of a local newspaper.

Instead of enjoying the leisure American life, conservatives all across America are becoming soldiers in an obscure war. The counter attack to the liberal assault upon America is finally underway, and we are leading the charge.

As American liberalism evolved into socialism, conservatives have been content with beating the system. When liberals increased taxes, we just worked a few more hours. If they raised them again, we found the loopholes. As liberals ruined our cities, we just moved away. When they ruined education, we used private schools. When liberals sold off of our national security, we consoled ourselves with Reagan's Cold War victory. When all those dopey liberal programs failed, we didn't worry about it. After all, it didn't bother us. We we're conservatives. We adapt.

But all that has changed. Somewhere in our "leave me the hell alone" stupor, something snapped. The liberals went too far. And now, we are fighting back.

It's hard to say when that line in the sand was crossed. Perhaps Reagan's 1980 election. Or it could have been the 1994 Gingrich Revolution. Or maybe it was the last few years of cynical Clintonism. But somewhere over the last twenty years, conservatives drew the line. And liberals crossed it.

We finally noticed the 6.5 trillion dollar price our children must pay for the massive failure of the Great Society. We came to understand the looming entanglement of the Social Security Ponzi scheme. And we saw the sacrifice of those who laid down their lives to secure our freedom, now being cynically sold to China for campaign donations. Our kids are growing up in a culture with no heroes, indoctrinated with failure and perversion, to become fodder for the nanny-state. The cost of liberalism is being hung around their necks, and the noose tightens a little more every day.

Above all, we recognize the abdication of the American watchdog, the free press, who have not merely "missed" these issues but have sided with the socialist traitors as they gobbled up power.

We each have our reasons. Moral, social, economic, religious ... but we remember the America where we are governed by the same laws. Where right and wrong was a respected concept. Where honor, integrity, honesty and all those great virtues and values distinguished us from the rest of the world, and made us better. And we want them back.

Liberalism has crossed the line. Instead of being merely being inconvenient, it is now a threat. The liberal assault on America has been horribly destructive, and the cost of ignoring the damage has become too high to bear. Conservatives are finally leaving their cocoon of luxury, sacrificing their everyday lives and attacking liberalism head-on.

Strangely, we aren't alone. Even traditional leftists such as Christopher Hitchens, Camille Paglia and even the irascible Jim Traflicant, have openly parted with the Democrats and their corrupted, twisted leadership. They have joined us, surrendering hard-earned status within the liberal community. That is, indeed, a magnificent sacrifice.

They understand what's at stake. It is man's nature to dominate others. In a nation founded upon freedom, those rights are fragile and always under attack. The temptation of power is almost irresistible. That is why government attracts those who crave power over others. The most dangerous attacks on our freedom is not from without, but from within.

Thus, the battle to preserve American rights shall be perpetual. But it must be fought, and it must be won. Evil will prosper when the good are idle. Liberals have waged a dirty, despicable war upon America to gain power at the expense of our rights and freedom. Finally, American conservatives have been prodded awake from our long yawn of indifference. We are committed. We are angry. We are motivated. And we are the liberal's worst nightmare. We are the first generation of conservatives who can tell the truth better than liberals can lie.

Our husbands, wives and friends think we are nuts. We miss the fun. We miss our families. We surrender the temptation of American leisure within a nation of shrinking greatness. But we are soldiers of conscience. We are the air raid sirens. We are the Paul Revere's, who ride into the night. We fight any traitor who blithely surrenders America to socialist tyranny.

As in every war, the hours stink, the pay is awful and the risks are great. Who pays the bills? Who watches the kids? Yet we make this sacrifice because we are compelled. We owe it to our children. We owe it to our parents. We owe it to each other. We owe it to our nation. We want to bring back the ideals that made our nation great. We have a commitment to freedom. We have a commitment to America. And that is why we do it. This is indeed, a war. And we are called to battle. No, we are called to victory. Our goal is to utterly defeat liberalism. And we will. Because we must!

**Get ready. The revolution is coming.
For some of us, it has arrived.**

**The Commitment – written by Tom Adkins,
Executive Editor - the Common Conservative**